



Mississippi COTTON

VARIETY TRIALS, 2012



MISSISSIPPI AGRICULTURAL & FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION + GEORGE M. HOPPER, DIRECTOR

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY + MARK E. KEENUM, PRESIDENT + GREGORY A. BOHACH, VICE PRESIDENT

NOTICE TO USER

This Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station information bulletin is a summary of research conducted under project number 171600 at the Delta Research and Extension Center in Stoneville, Mississippi, and several other locations in the state. It is intended for the use of colleagues, cooperators, and sponsors. The interpretation of data presented herein may change after additional experimentation. Information included herein is not to be construed either as a recommendation for use or as an endorsement of a specific product by Mississippi State University or the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for clarity and understanding. All available names (trade names, chemical names, experimental product code names or numbers, etc.) of products used in this research project are listed in the tables contained in this report.

Mississippi Cotton Variety Trials, 2012

B.R. Golden, Assistant Research Professor

Cotton Variety Trial Coordinator
Delta Research and Extension Center
Stoneville, Mississippi
bgolden@drec.msstate.edu

W.E. Clark, Agricultural Technician

Cotton Variety Trial Contact
Delta Research and Extension Center
Stoneville, Mississippi
weclark@drec.msstate.edu

D.M. Dodds, State Cotton Extension Specialist

Department of Plant and Soil Science
Mississippi State University
Starkville, Mississippi

N.W. Buehring, Research Professor and Superintendent

Northeast Mississippi Branch Experiment Station
Verona, Mississippi

S.W. Martin, Ag Economist and Head

Delta Research and Extension Center
Stoneville, Mississippi

M.W. Shankle, Research Professor

North Mississippi Research and Extension Center
Pontotoc, Mississippi

T.P. Wallace, Associate Professor

Department of Plant and Soil Science
Mississippi State University
Starkville, Mississippi

This report was approved for publication as MAFES Information Bulletin 477 of the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station, Mississippi State University. It was published by the Office of Agricultural Communications, a unit of the Mississippi State University Division of Agriculture, Forestry, and Veterinary Medicine. Copyright 2013 by Mississippi State University. All rights reserved. This publication may be copied and distributed without alteration for nonprofit educational purposes provided that credit is given to the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station.

PREFACE

The main objective of the Mississippi Cotton Official Variety Trials (OVT) is to provide unbiased information to clientele regarding evaluation of yield and fiber performance of commercial cotton varieties and advanced lines that may become varieties in the future. The ultimate goal is to provide Mississippi producers with adequate information to make well-informed seed selection decisions for cultivation in the major production regions in Mississippi. This Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station information bulletin is a summary of research conducted at numerous on- and off-station locations throughout Mississippi. The interpretation of data presented may change after additional experimentation over years. All information included is not to be construed as a recommendation for use or as an endorsement of a particular product or variety by Mississippi State University or the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only to provide greater clarity to the information presented.



CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Testing Procedures.....	1
Interpreting the Data	2
Selecting Varieties.....	2
Considerations for Selection.....	3
Loan Valuation Decision Aid	3
Top-Yielding Varieties.....	3
Acknowledgements.....	4
<i>Performance tables for 2012 tested varieties</i>	
Table 1. Varieties submitted for testing in 2012	5
Table 2. Mean performance of New and OVT varieties submitted during 2012	6
Table 3. Two-year yield performance of varieties cultivated in the Delta region.....	7
Table 4. Two-year yield performance of varieties cultivated in the Hill region	7
Table 5. One-year yield performance of varieties cultivated in the Delta region.....	8
Table 6. One-year yield performance of varieties cultivated in the Hill region	9
Table 7. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Stoneville OVT trial	10
Table 8. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Tribbett OVT trial	11
Table 9. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Verona OVT trial	12
Table 10. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Starkville OVT trial	10
Table 11. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Clarksdale OVT trial	14
Table 12. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Schlater OVT trial	15
Table 13. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Rolling Fork OVT trial	16
Table 14. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Senatobia OVT trial	17
Table 15. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Tunica OVT trial	18
Table 16. One-year yield performance of New varieties tested in Mississippi.....	19
Table 17. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Stoneville New trial	19
Table 18. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Starkville New trial	20
Table 19. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Tribbett New trial	20
Table 20. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Verona New trial	21
Table 21. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Clarksdale New trial	21
Table 22. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Rolling Fork New trial	22
Table 23. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Schlater New trial	22
Table 24. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Senatobia New trial	23
Table 25. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Tunica New trial	23
Table 26. Yield performance and fiber characteristics – Stoneville CAST trial	24
Table 27. Loan premiums and discounts for one-year performance of varieties tested in the Delta region	25
Table 28. Loan premiums and discounts for one-year performance of varieties tested in the Hill region	26
Appendix 1. Agronomically important events for OVT trials conducted during 2012.....	27

Mississippi Cotton Variety Trials, 2012

INTRODUCTION

Annually, Mississippi State researchers evaluate cotton varieties at numerous locations in the cotton-growing regions of the state. The purpose of the Mississippi State Official Variety Trial (OVT) is to provide an unbiased comparison of varieties across a range of environments. Trial evaluation of standard, commercially available, and new and upcoming cotton cultivars throughout the state provides producers data to make well-informed variety selection decisions based on how particular cotton varieties performed near their operations.

Researchers conduct the OVT at the Delta Research and Extension Center, North Mississippi Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University main campus, Tribbett Satellite Farm, and cooperating producer locations in Delta and Hill

cotton-producing regions. At each location, all varieties entered into the trial are treated identically (Conventional) with respect to herbicide and insecticide input to strive for unbiased evaluation of genetic potential. Mississippi State personnel attempt to conduct at least eight small-plot official variety trials per year in areas that well represent most of the state's cotton-producing acreage.

Mississippi State also conducts the New Trial and Commercial Advanced Stain Trial (CAST). The New Trial is conducted at four on-station locations, and the CAST is conducted at Stoneville. These trials allow researchers and producers to get a firsthand look at possibly the next best varieties coming down the pipeline. In most instances, varieties in the New Trial are closer to being on the shelf than those in the CAST.

TESTING PROCEDURES

All varieties submitted for testing are cultivated utilizing conventional chemical control for insect and weed pests. Each test plot consists of two rows of cotton approximately 40 feet long and spaced 38 or 40 inches apart. Each plot is analyzed statistically as a randomized complete block with four blocks or replications.

Cooperators at each location determine input management based on soil texture, soil test value, and scouting for pest pressures. However, the cotton variety testing coordinator controls seeding rate and physical seeding. A list of agronomically important input management dates is presented in Appendix 1. Agronomic date information allows the user to take into account management practices at each location when evaluating yield.

All estimated fiber parameters (lint percent, individual boll weight), as well as high-volume instrumentation (HVI) fiber quality assessment, are based on a handpicked 50-boll sample from each replicated plot at each location. The 50-boll samples from all locations are ginned on the same 10-saw Continental laboratory gin to determine gin turnout. Using the same gin for all samples allows researchers to avoid bias fiber quality across locations. HVI analyses for fiber property determinations are conducted by Starlab Inc. of Knoxville, Tennessee.

Lint yields are calculated using the seed cotton weight mechanically harvested from each plot, and the turnout percentage estimates are calculated from hand-picked boll samples. Mean lint yields are presented as pounds of lint per acre.

The commercial varieties utilized as standard checks for comparison in 2012 were Delta Pine and Land 0912 B2RF, Phylogen 375 WRF, and Stoneville 5288B2R. These varieties were included to give the

end user an idea of how newer cultivars compare to proven high-yielding varieties adapted to the Midsouth growing region.

INTERPRETING THE DATA

Field variability is inherent to production research with any cropping system. Unlike strip trials, small-plot research allows for replication with a very minimal footprint. The minimal footprint associated with small-plot research generally allows for less variability among replications due to field variability (i.e., soil textural changes, pest variations). Reduced variability lends us a greater understanding of a variety's genetic potential when cultivated under uniform conditions. However, strip-trial research may generate more information about how a variety performs across a range of conditions (e.g., low spot in the field). Data from both small plots and strip trials should be considered when making final variety selection decisions.

Mississippi State separates the top-performing varieties by use of a Fisher's Protected Least Significant Difference (LSD) at a 5% level. The LSD

associated with the 5% level lends us a 95% positive identification of the greatest yielding varieties at each specific location. In each individual trial, the collection of varieties that yield the greatest statistically is represented in bold. These varieties have a numerical difference less than the LSD value shown at the bottom of the data variable columns.

The varieties listed in bold may have slightly differing numerical yields, but they will perform very similarly at a given location. Statistical analysis is not conducted for across-location averages. Each producer should review data tables for the geographically closest location that is representative of his or her operation. However, it is also important to review yield information across locations to get an idea of a variety's yield stability over a wide range of production environments.

SELECTING A VARIETY/TRAIT

Cultivar selection is one of the most — if not the most — important management decisions a producer must make for the duration of growing season. Improper variety selection generally cannot be overcome with management. Planting varieties with the best genetic potential will generally pay off at harvest. Because of the rising cost of seed and associated technology fees, careful consideration should go into selecting varieties that are well adapted to the Midsouth's growing conditions and to certain geographical regions within the state.

Multiple available transgenic traits can make selecting a variety cumbersome. At most locations the top yielding varieties represent a range of available trait packages. This lends the producer multiple options to choose from with respect to herbicide and insecticide traits. Below is a synopsis of the transgenic traits that were represented in this year's trials.

Glyphosate tolerance — This trait is generally indicated on the seed bag with either an F or RF. Varieties with these designations can tolerate over-the-

top applications of glyphosate. The newer Flex varieties have almost completely replaced the older Roundup Ready varieties (R or RR). In general, Flex varieties allow for over-the-top applications to be made later into the season.

Glufosinate tolerance — This trait is generally indicated on the seed bag with an LL. These varieties can withstand over-the-top applications of Ignite 280 or Ignite. These varieties may gain appeal as more and more acreage encounters glyphosate-resistant weed pressure.

It is important to note that producers who use both glyphosate- and glufosinate-tolerant varieties in close proximity must be careful to avoid crop injury from spray drift, improperly cleaned applicators, or a combination of both. For more information on utilizing herbicide-resistant traits and alternative weed control practices, consult MSU Extension Publication 1532, "2013 Weed Control Guidelines for Mississippi," which is available online at <http://msucares.com/pubs/publications/p1532/cotton.pdf>.

Bollgard 2 — Varieties with designations B, BG, B2, or BG2 on the seed bag or in the brand name contain genes that produce a protein toxic to heliothis. Seed labeled as B or BG should no longer be available because of the Bollgard 1 phase-out. Under high and persistent pressure, supplemental chemical control strategies are necessary to prevent economic damage from caterpillar pests.

WideStrike — Phytoxin varieties with the designation W or WS2 on the bag or in the variety name contain two genes that produce proteins toxic to caterpillar pests. For more information on utilization of transgenic traits with insecticidal properties, consult MSU Extension Publication 2471, “Insect Control Guide for Agronomic Crops,” which is available online at <http://msucares.com/pubs/publications/p2471.pdf>.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR SELECTION

Yield variability across calendar years within a variety is certain. Therefore, selection decisions should be made from within the range of top-yielding varieties. Newer varieties with limited available data should be cultivated to minimal acreage until further testing validates performance across multiple years and locations. Generally, there is no one variety that is the “silver bullet.” Therefore, choosing multiple varieties allows for flexibility in relative maturity, management decisions, and risk aversion.

Lint yield should be the primary factor when selecting a variety, but fiber quality is also important. Overall, low fiber quality in Midsouth cotton-producing regions has become an issue. Do not underestimate the discounts associated with high micronaire, which can be significant.

One consideration when selecting a variety is the overall mean of the trial. Comparing an individual variety to the trial mean can indicate how that particular variety “stacked up” to the trial as a whole. A variety with a mean lint yield greater than the overall trial mean generally will perform well.

Remember, there can be a full 14-day difference in maturity between cotton varieties. However, most current leading varieties, including those submitted to this year’s trial, tend to be more mid- to early maturing than varieties of the past. For more information on maturity of varieties, consult MSU Extension Publication 2697 “2011 Cotton Maturity Guide,” which is available online at <http://msucares.com/pubs/publications/p2697.pdf>.

LOAN VALUATION DECISION AID

A measure of the economic importance of cotton quality can be made in comparing the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loan values of the trial entries. Premiums and discounts were calculated using mean HVI quality data collected for each variety in the Delta and Hill Region Trials (Tables 27–28). These

calculations are based on the CCC 2012 Crop Upland Cotton Loan Schedule at the base loan rate of 52 cents per pound. In addition, estimated lint values per acre and net value per acre above harvest and ginning costs are presented for both trials.

TOP-YIELDING VARIETIES

There are many methods of picking or highlighting the top-yielding varieties across locations to develop a “short list” of promising varieties for future plantings. For soybean and corn, the short list is a powerful aid in selecting varieties due to the sheer number of available varieties. However, for cotton, the list of available varieties that perform well and are adapted to the Midsouth

is short on its own. The recent trend in cotton varieties submitted for testing to university OVT trials across the Midsouth has declined over the last 10 years with changes in the cotton industry. Therefore, it is important to select a variety that has performed well in the Mississippi OVT or other Midsouth university OVT trials.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to express our appreciation first and foremost to the four producers who participated in the 2012 Official Cotton Variety Trials that were conducted on-farm. The on-farm tests provide an added benefit to the data by expanding the footprint of the trials into different areas of the state to better represent the environmental, soil textural, and management variations present throughout Mississippi. Thank you to Cliff Heaton (Clarksdale), Clark Carter (Rolling Fork), Dale Pillow (Schlater), and George Perry (Senatobia and Tunica); your hard work and willingness to participate in the variety trials is deeply valued. We at the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station look forward to working with you and other willing producers in the future.

We also want to express our gratitude to Robert Sullivan and Jim Nichols of the agronomy program at the Delta Research and Extension Center for their assistance with all aspects of conducting the trials. Without your diligent work and assistance, the variety trials would not be a success. Thanks again for all you do.

We also recognize Shan Beasley, Laurie Jones, and Debra White for their assistance with hand harvesting, ginning, and preparing fiber-quality samples. Your work allows us to provide data in a timely fashion. To Mark Silva, thanks for supplying the equipment and technical expertise to make recording environmental data possible at both the on- and off-station testing locations.

Table 1. Varieties submitted for testing by participating industry partners in 2012.

Industry contact	Trial and variety submitted¹		
	Official variety trial	New variety trial	Commercial strain trial
All-Tex Seed Co. <i>Charlie Cook</i>		ATX LA 122 ATX NITRO44 B2RF	ATX 9C253 B2RF ATX CR103233 B2RF ATX CR106466 B2RF ATX 981221501 B2RF
Americot Inc. <i>Tom Brooks</i>	AM1511 B2RF AM1550 B2RF	UA 48 NGX 0012 B2RF	
DREC <i>Peggy Thaxton</i>			DREC 08-263 DREC 08-283 DREC 08-30ne
Bayer Crop Science <i>Andy White</i>	FM 1944GLB2 ST 5288B2F (Std)	FM 1944GLB2 BX 1346GLB2 BX 1348GLB2 ST 5288B2F (Std)	ST 5288B2F (Std)
Crop Production Services <i>Wade Thompson</i>	DG 2570 B2RF DG 2610 B2RF	DG 2595 B2RF DG 2530 B2RF CT 12214	
Monsanto <i>Dave Albers</i>	DP 1034 B2RF DP 1048 B2RF DP 1219 B2RF DP 1133 B2RF DP 1044 B2RF DP 1137 B2RF DP 0920 B2RF DP 0912 B2RF (Std)	11R112B2R2 11R124B2R2 11R136B2R2 11R159B2R2 DP 0912 B2RF (Std)	DP 0912 B2RF (Std)
PhytoGen Seed Co. <i>Brooks Blanche</i>	PHY 367 WRF PHY 499 WRF PX433906WRF PX4339CBWRF PX433915WRF PX532211WRF PHY 375 WRF (Std)	PHY 375 WRF (Std)	PX3122WRF-40 PX4433WRF-14 PX5403WRF-05 PX5409WRF-03 PX5277WRF-06 PX5277WRF-02 PHY 375 WRF (Std)
Seed Source Genetics <i>Ed Jungmann</i>	HQ 210 CT UA 222		
Winnfield Solutions, LLC <i>Robert Cossar</i>	CG 3787 B2RF	CGX 1201 B2RF	
¹ (Std) — Designates a standard entry to be used for check purposes.			

Table 2. One-year mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for Official and New trial varieties submitted for testing in 2012 averaged across all testing locations.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	<i>lb/A</i>	%	<i>in</i>		<i>g/tex</i>	%	%	<i>g</i>	<i>g</i>
DP 1321 B2RF	1512	41.90	1.18	4.94	29.97	86.02	7.41	5.07	10.14
NG 1511 B2RF	1496	42.95	1.17	4.82	30.87	85.91	7.42	5.46	10.17
PX433915WRF	1429	40.66	1.21	4.59	30.21	86.04	6.79	4.88	10.01
PX433906WRF	1427	41.34	1.21	4.57	31.08	86.22	6.94	4.96	9.68
PHY499WRF	1414	43.18	1.17	4.90	31.71	86.16	7.41	5.18	9.90
PX4339CBWRF	1412	40.93	1.21	4.55	30.68	86.26	6.89	4.94	9.78
CG 3787 B2RF	1404	42.84	1.18	4.60	29.01	86.12	7.21	5.11	9.49
CT12214	1381	40.75	1.20	4.60	29.45	86.27	7.05	5.25	10.47
DP 0912 B2RF	1369	40.11	1.16	5.09	29.98	85.67	6.86	5.15	10.09
PHY 375 WRF	1355	41.77	1.15	4.65	29.71	85.39	6.68	4.99	9.98
ST 5288B2F	1340	40.57	1.17	4.88	28.91	84.95	6.76	5.08	9.46
DP 0920 B2RF	1328	41.71	1.17	4.89	28.14	85.45	6.65	4.80	9.62
DP 1137 B2RF	1318	42.63	1.16	4.67	29.02	85.72	7.12	5.19	9.62
DP 1048 B2RF	1318	42.49	1.18	4.55	28.78	86.03	7.09	4.93	9.61
DP 1133 B2RF	1316	42.91	1.18	4.73	31.31	86.54	7.10	4.83	9.31
DG 2570 B2RF	1308	40.84	1.17	4.81	30.37	85.80	7.26	5.61	10.42
FM 1944GLB2	1302	39.58	1.24	4.74	32.00	86.33	6.21	5.54	10.76
DP 1034 B2RF	1295	42.58	1.18	4.59	28.84	86.03	7.15	4.99	9.48
AM1550 B2RF	1292	40.59	1.15	4.61	28.36	85.59	6.66	5.24	10.23
BX 1346GLB2	1282	40.30	1.19	4.73	31.49	86.02	7.11	5.73	10.96
DP 1311 B2RF	1280	42.86	1.17	4.69	28.24	85.51	7.00	4.77	8.84
DG 2595 B2RF	1276	41.38	1.20	4.97	30.57	85.91	6.82	4.91	9.91
BX 1348GLB2	1263	40.11	1.23	4.53	29.63	85.83	6.06	4.74	9.75
DP 1219 B2RF	1252	42.04	1.21	4.61	33.04	85.71	6.74	4.74	9.04
DP 1044 B2RF	1238	39.67	1.16	4.56	29.19	85.14	7.10	4.64	9.40
PHY367WRF	1236	40.38	1.19	4.51	30.44	85.61	7.02	4.62	9.74
CG 3428 B2RF	1233	41.67	1.22	4.73	29.04	86.39	6.86	4.69	9.41
DP 1359 B2RF	1231	42.95	1.19	4.74	31.58	85.60	6.61	4.73	9.17
DG 2530 B2RF	1220	42.36	1.22	4.63	30.90	86.95	7.02	4.95	9.54
NGX 0012 B2RF	1205	42.78	1.18	4.58	28.70	86.43	7.28	5.10	9.71
DG 2610 B2RF	1166	42.04	1.18	4.49	29.48	86.26	7.24	5.04	9.80
HQ 210 CT	1152	40.04	1.16	4.68	31.61	85.07	6.83	4.96	9.85
LA 122	1138	41.45	1.18	4.52	29.27	85.75	6.96	5.15	9.81
PX532211WRF	1136	39.47	1.23	4.41	29.33	86.26	6.66	5.02	10.23
UA 222	1130	39.32	1.25	4.80	30.65	86.50	7.24	5.61	11.13
NITRO 44 B2RF	1127	38.67	1.25	4.16	33.21	86.87	7.00	5.36	10.99
MON 11R136B2R2	1053	40.36	1.25	4.49	31.92	86.87	6.87	5.09	9.59
UA48	1001	37.16	1.30	5.11	37.42	87.80	6.78	5.72	11.90
Overall Mean	1282	41.21	1.19	4.67	30.35	86.02	6.94	5.06	9.91
LSD (0.05)	135	0.78	0.016	0.2	0.7	0.44	0.16	0.2	0.37
C.V. (%)	22.58	4.04	2.99	9.18	4.97	1.11	4.93	8.48	7.98

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 3. Two-year mean lint yield of varieties cultivated at four locations in the Delta region during 2011 and 2012.¹

Variety	Clarksdale		Rolling Fork		Stoneville		Tribbett		Avg. across loc. and yr.
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	
NG 1511 B2RF	Ib/A n/a	Ib/A 1442	Ib/A n/a	Ib/A 1324	Ib/A 1088	Ib/A 1330	Ib/A n/a	Ib/A 1236	Ib/A 1284
AM 1550 B2RF	1737	1386	1389	1049	877	1065	1043	1122	1209
CG 3787 B2RF	n/a	1105	n/a	1215	1007	1020	n/a	1299	1129
DG 2570 B2RF	1624	1258	1448	1236	779	1134	1062	1109	1206
DP 0912 B2RF	1697	1265	1765	1190	904	875	1040	1151	1236
DP 1034 B2RF	1617	1082	1589	1027	1156	904	984	1297	1207
DP 1048 B2RF	1262	966	1457	1193	947	894	1019	1237	1122
DP 1133 B2RF	1968	978	1861	1065	918	1335	992	1376	1312
DP 1137 B2RF	1642	955	1589	835	813	890	922	1329	1122
HQ 210 CT	1149	1012	756	1123	646	926	757	980	919
LA 122	n/a	968	n/a	958	955	1135	n/a	958	995
PHY 375 WRF	1796	1249	1595	1159	902	1068	864	1227	1233
PHY 499 WRF	1773	1407	1802	1147	982	1051	1259	1173	1324
ST 5288B2F	1964	1333	1763	1157	994	1126	1268	1193	1350
UA 48	n/a	1159	n/a	902	765	1021	n/a	727	915

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

n/a = new trials were not planted at off-station locations during 2011.

Tunica data not presented for 2-year Delta average due to seep water preventing trial in 2011.

Table 4. Two-year mean lint yield of varieties cultivated at three locations in the Hill region during 2011 and 2012.

Variety	Senatobia		Starkville		Verona		Avg. across location and yr.
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	
NG 1511 B2RF	Ib/A n/a	Ib/A 1411	Ib/A 1298	Ib/A 1363	Ib/A 1778	Ib/A 1885	Ib/A 1547
AM 1550 B2RF	1008	1253	1094	1261	1459	1658	1289
CG 3787 B2RF	n/a	1456	1193	1529	1967	1897	1608
DG 2570 B2RF	1057	1187	1055	1110	1625	1765	1300
DP 0912 B2RF	1244	1257	1093	1307	1632	1798	1389
DP 1034 B2RF	1161	1322	1479	1573	1771	1823	1522
DP 1048 B2RF	1035	1418	1406	1530	1673	1867	1488
DP 1133 B2RF	1045	1436	1205	1340	1641	1776	1407
DP 1137 B2RF	1067	1316	1407	1559	1599	1868	1469
HQ 210 CT	952	1106	900	1055	1480	1362	1143
LA 122	n/a	1288	1224	1163	1696	n/a	1343
PHY 375 WRF	1303	1351	1245	1255	1483	1679	1386
PHY 499 WRF	1062	1423	1384	1347	1603	1876	1449
ST 5288B2F	1125	1189	1213	1430	1523	1564	1341
UA 48	n/a	1052	950	894	1167	n/a	1016

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

n/a = new trials were not planted at off-station locations during 2011.

Trial was inadvertently oversprayed with glyphosate; therefore, no data is presented for varieties that year.

Table 5. One-year mean yield performance of varieties cultivated at five locations in the Delta region during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	<i>lb/A</i>	%	<i>in</i>		<i>g/tex</i>	%	%	<i>g</i>	<i>g</i>
DP 1321 B2RF	1486	41.48	1.18	5.04	29.72	85.92	7.39	5.06	10.23
NG 1511 B2RF	1467	42.52	1.17	4.88	30.55	85.90	7.43	5.48	10.26
PX433915WRF	1391	40.46	1.21	4.69	30.30	85.97	6.75	4.95	10.12
PX433906WRF	1365	41.13	1.21	4.62	30.93	86.30	6.88	4.99	9.76
PHY499WRF	1347	43.02	1.17	4.98	31.58	86.08	7.33	5.28	10.07
CT12214	1347	40.51	1.19	4.69	29.31	86.08	6.98	5.28	10.48
PX4339CBWRF	1343	40.66	1.22	4.59	30.73	86.21	6.83	4.99	9.84
DP 0912 B2RF	1327	39.71	1.15	5.18	29.55	85.65	6.81	5.16	10.15
PHY 375 WRF	1319	41.58	1.15	4.73	29.48	85.23	6.61	5.03	10.06
ST 5288B2F	1312	40.54	1.17	5.03	28.40	84.74	6.73	5.17	9.53
CG 3787 B2RF	1292	42.66	1.18	4.64	28.88	86.08	7.19	5.17	9.62
DP 0920 B2RF	1291	41.70	1.17	5.01	28.10	85.30	6.58	4.89	9.75
DG 2570 B2RF	1285	40.90	1.17	4.93	30.14	85.77	7.26	5.73	10.41
BX 1346GLB2	1256	39.93	1.19	4.87	31.39	85.85	7.07	5.84	10.97
DG 2595 B2RF	1251	41.21	1.19	5.01	30.44	85.87	6.73	4.94	9.98
FM 1944GLB2	1244	39.53	1.23	4.85	31.67	86.40	6.13	5.62	10.71
AM1550 B2RF	1243	40.32	1.14	4.70	28.06	85.54	6.62	5.33	10.34
DP 1311 B2RF	1226	42.70	1.16	4.79	27.99	85.28	6.91	4.79	8.98
DP 1133 B2RF	1216	42.54	1.18	4.72	31.06	86.50	7.01	4.82	9.28
PHY367WRF	1215	40.13	1.18	4.60	30.26	85.65	6.98	4.69	9.76
DP 1044 B2RF	1187	39.41	1.16	4.69	28.73	85.13	7.04	4.64	9.53
DP 1137 B2RF	1187	42.25	1.15	4.69	28.96	85.73	7.07	5.18	9.70
UA 222	1180	39.25	1.24	4.83	30.23	86.41	7.16	5.60	11.05
DP 1048 B2RF	1179	42.23	1.18	4.58	28.55	85.87	7.03	4.95	9.70
DP 1359 B2RF	1164	42.90	1.19	4.84	31.26	85.51	6.58	4.80	9.48
BX 1348GLB2	1157	39.92	1.23	4.58	29.49	85.80	6.00	4.74	9.71
DP 1034 B2RF	1157	42.33	1.17	4.63	28.61	85.94	7.14	5.07	9.55
HQ 210 CT	1140	39.58	1.16	4.76	31.15	85.07	6.73	4.94	9.88
DP 1219 B2RF	1133	41.69	1.20	4.68	32.89	85.45	6.68	4.78	9.02
LA 122	1109	41.26	1.18	4.56	29.10	85.80	6.92	5.19	9.78
CG 3428 B2RF	1089	41.04	1.22	4.73	29.03	86.50	6.82	4.76	9.50
DG 2530 B2RF	1088	42.05	1.22	4.61	30.83	86.90	6.97	4.95	9.55
NGX 0012 B2RF	1080	42.53	1.17	4.56	28.68	86.42	7.24	5.11	9.78
PX532211WRF	1027	39.00	1.22	4.48	29.46	86.03	6.66	5.03	10.38
UA48	1010	37.09	1.29	5.15	37.07	87.67	6.65	5.73	11.65
NITRO 44 B2RF	990	37.95	1.25	4.16	32.80	86.69	6.92	5.30	11.09
MON 11R136B2R2	983	39.93	1.25	4.55	31.66	86.92	6.76	5.07	9.65
DG 2610 B2RF	981	41.56	1.18	4.53	29.46	86.10	7.22	5.07	9.95
Overall Mean	1213	40.93	1.19	4.74	30.17	85.95	6.89	5.11	9.98
LSD (0.05)	154	1.03	0.02	0.25	0.87	0.53	0.18	0.26	0.5
C.V. (%)	22.34	4.46	2.89	9.29	5.09	1.09	4.72	9.08	8.77

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 6. One-year mean yield performance of varieties cultivated at three locations in the Hill region during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	lb/A	%	in		g/tex	%	%	g	g
CG 3787 B2RF	1627	43.20	1.19	4.51	29.26	86.18	7.24	4.99	9.24
PX433906WRF	1624	41.78	1.21	4.48	31.38	86.06	7.07	4.91	9.53
DP 1048 B2RF	1622	43.06	1.19	4.50	29.27	86.36	7.21	4.88	9.43
DP 1137 B2RF	1581	43.38	1.17	4.63	29.15	85.69	7.21	5.21	9.46
DP 1034 B2RF	1572	43.08	1.18	4.53	29.31	86.20	7.16	4.83	9.32
DP 1321 B2RF	1564	42.74	1.18	4.73	30.47	86.23	7.43	5.09	9.96
DP 1219 B2RF	1553	42.75	1.22	4.47	33.36	86.23	6.88	4.67	9.08
NG 1511 B2RF	1553	43.82	1.18	4.70	31.50	85.94	7.42	5.43	9.98
PX4339CBWRF	1550	41.47	1.21	4.46	30.58	86.37	7.01	4.85	9.65
PHY499WRF	1548	43.52	1.18	4.74	31.97	86.32	7.56	4.98	9.57
NGX 0012 B2RF	1536	43.26	1.18	4.61	28.74	86.45	7.36	5.08	9.56
DG 2610 B2RF	1535	43.02	1.19	4.40	29.52	86.58	7.28	5.00	9.49
DP 1133 B2RF	1517	43.67	1.19	4.74	31.80	86.63	7.28	4.86	9.36
CG 3428 B2RF	1510	42.89	1.23	4.73	29.08	86.18	6.93	4.56	9.24
PX433915WRF	1503	41.08	1.22	4.38	30.03	86.20	6.85	4.73	9.78
DG 2530 B2RF	1483	42.98	1.22	4.65	31.05	87.06	7.13	4.95	9.54
BX 1348GLB2	1474	40.49	1.24	4.41	29.90	85.88	6.19	4.74	9.83
CT12214	1456	41.27	1.21	4.41	29.74	86.70	7.20	5.18	10.45
DP 0912 B2RF	1454	40.92	1.16	4.89	30.82	85.70	6.96	5.12	9.97
PHY 375 WRF	1428	42.16	1.16	4.48	30.16	85.72	6.80	4.91	9.83
PX532211WRF	1428	40.42	1.23	4.27	29.07	86.72	6.65	4.99	9.94
FM 1944GLB2	1416	39.66	1.24	4.51	32.66	86.20	6.36	5.38	10.84
DP 0920 B2RF	1402	41.74	1.17	4.65	28.23	85.73	6.80	4.63	9.35
NITRO 44 B2RF	1400	40.09	1.26	4.15	34.03	87.22	7.16	5.48	10.78
ST 5288B2F	1394	40.64	1.18	4.59	29.93	85.37	6.81	4.91	9.33
AM1550 B2RF	1391	41.12	1.15	4.41	28.97	85.69	6.74	5.06	10.02
DP 1311 B2RF	1388	43.18	1.19	4.49	28.74	85.98	7.18	4.74	8.57
DP 1359 B2RF	1361	43.03	1.20	4.54	32.23	85.78	6.67	4.58	8.56
DG 2570 B2RF	1354	40.71	1.18	4.56	30.82	85.85	7.27	5.39	10.43
DP 1044 B2RF	1340	40.18	1.17	4.30	30.11	85.16	7.21	4.65	9.15
BX 1346GLB2	1333	41.04	1.20	4.43	31.70	86.36	7.18	5.51	10.93
DG 2595 B2RF	1327	41.72	1.21	4.90	30.84	86.01	7.00	4.86	9.77
PHY367WRF	1278	40.86	1.20	4.33	30.79	85.53	7.09	4.49	9.69
LA 122	1226	42.03	1.20	4.41	29.76	85.61	7.09	5.03	9.89
MON 11R136B2R2	1187	41.23	1.24	4.38	32.43	86.76	7.08	5.14	9.48
HQ 210 CT	1174	40.97	1.16	4.51	32.54	85.08	7.05	5.02	9.79
UA 222	981	39.50	1.27	4.71	31.91	86.76	7.49	5.61	11.36
UA48	973	37.36	1.30	4.96	38.48	88.21	7.18	5.70	12.66
Overall Mean	1431	41.79	1.2	4.53	30.73	86.15	7.05	4.99	9.77
LSD (0.05)	213	0.89	0.032	0.31	1.1	0.79	0.29	0.27	0.44
C.V. (%)	18.12	2.59	3.21	8.21	4.37	1.12	4.98	6.67	5.54

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 7. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Bosket very fine sandy loam at the Delta Research and Extension Center near Stoneville, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	lb/A	%	in		g/tex	%	%	g	g
DP 1133 B2RF	1335	43.45	1.22	4.93	32.00	86.63	6.95	4.93	9.53
NG 1511 B2RF	1330	42.80	1.20	5.05	31.90	86.00	7.55	5.63	10.45
DP 1321 B2RF	1276	42.00	1.20	5.20	30.65	85.83	7.38	4.95	10.05
DP 0920 B2RF	1239	43.03	1.17	5.15	28.58	85.03	6.73	4.68	10.10
PX433906WRF	1222	41.50	1.19	4.65	30.95	85.23	6.75	4.55	9.48
DG 2595 B2RF	1193	41.98	1.22	5.15	32.73	86.48	6.83	5.10	10.20
PX433915WRF	1172	39.83	1.22	4.80	30.88	85.90	6.63	4.85	10.83
DP 1359 B2RF	1164	43.68	1.20	4.85	32.23	85.50	6.68	4.63	9.90
DP 0912 B2RF	1156	40.50	1.17	5.33	30.28	86.03	6.95	5.10	9.90
PX4339CBWRF	1143	39.95	1.23	4.70	30.98	85.98	6.55	5.10	10.20
DG 2570 B2RF	1134	41.35	1.17	4.98	31.60	85.68	7.30	5.88	10.25
ST 5288B2F	1126	41.10	1.19	5.08	29.55	84.55	6.65	5.10	9.50
PHY367WRF	1103	39.90	1.24	4.70	30.50	86.10	6.93	4.50	9.98
CT12214	1103	40.05	1.23	4.80	31.38	86.65	7.08	5.23	10.90
PHY 375 WRF	1068	41.23	1.16	4.85	30.60	85.23	6.60	4.80	10.25
AM1550 B2RF	1065	40.63	1.16	4.83	29.23	85.30	6.73	4.98	10.50
PHY499WRF	1051	43.40	1.19	5.00	32.13	86.15	7.35	5.15	10.25
DP 1219 B2RF	1046	42.95	1.18	4.78	33.05	84.78	6.70	4.58	9.03
DP 1311 B2RF	1035	43.75	1.16	4.93	28.40	85.18	7.10	4.75	9.88
UA48	1021	36.60	1.30	5.35	38.13	87.53	6.83	5.35	10.90
CG 3787 B2RF	1020	43.60	1.18	4.63	29.50	86.38	7.33	5.03	9.53
UA 222	996	39.68	1.27	4.95	31.33	86.90	7.13	5.58	10.95
LA 122	995	42.18	1.17	4.83	28.48	85.20	6.73	4.80	10.30
FM 1944GLB2	967	39.83	1.24	4.93	32.93	86.75	6.23	5.58	11.35
CG 3428 B2RF	962	41.37	1.22	5.03	30.00	86.63	6.97	4.80	9.77
BX 1346GLB2	940	40.50	1.22	4.98	32.53	85.95	7.08	5.33	10.68
HQ 210 CT	926	39.85	1.18	4.95	31.93	84.70	6.85	4.63	9.88
BX 1348GLB2	922	40.50	1.23	4.65	29.95	85.35	6.05	4.65	10.10
DG 2530 B2RF	910	42.48	1.26	4.75	31.80	87.38	7.05	4.95	9.53
DP 1048 B2RF	894	42.95	1.18	4.65	30.50	85.95	7.18	4.70	9.55
DP 1137 B2RF	890	42.28	1.14	4.83	29.93	85.98	7.10	5.25	9.98
DP 1034 B2RF	854	42.88	1.18	4.70	29.43	86.03	6.95	4.85	10.10
PX532211WRF	835	39.28	1.24	4.60	29.98	86.63	6.75	4.80	10.53
NITRO 44 B2RF	832	38.10	1.26	4.48	33.70	86.78	6.95	5.30	10.58
NGX 0012 B2RF	824	44.18	1.17	4.68	30.25	86.10	7.28	4.93	10.05
DP 1044 B2RF	823	39.28	1.18	4.88	30.25	85.50	7.18	4.55	10.13
MON 11R136B2R2	757	41.65	1.25	4.93	32.38	87.40	6.90	5.35	9.78
DG 2610 B2RF	632	43.18	1.16	4.55	31.05	86.43	7.33	5.25	10.00
Overall Mean	1021	41.4	1.2	4.87	31.1	85.99	6.93	5.00	10.13
LSD (0.05)	163	1.38	0.03	0.19	1.55	1.03	0.36	0.57	0.98
C.V. (%)	11.18	2.37	1.96	2.82	3.54	0.85	3.69	8.19	6.87

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 8. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Forestdale silty clay loam at the Tribbett Satellite Farm near Tribbett, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
DP 1133 B2RF	1376	43.58	1.16	5.28	30.58	86.08	6.83	5.35	9.38
DP 1137 B2RF	1329	42.75	1.17	4.90	28.63	85.23	7.20	5.05	9.80
DP 0920 B2RF	1324	42.00	1.17	5.15	27.93	84.98	6.33	4.88	10.38
DP 1321 B2RF	1323	41.25	1.16	5.28	29.38	84.83	7.45	5.05	9.85
BX 1346GLB2	1311	40.95	1.16	5.18	29.83	85.93	6.95	5.95	10.63
CG 3787 B2RF	1299	43.80	1.18	4.98	27.78	85.48	7.15	5.23	10.13
DP 1034 B2RF	1297	43.08	1.17	4.90	27.95	85.10	7.53	4.98	9.28
CT12214	1297	41.45	1.17	5.03	28.33	85.63	6.93	5.40	10.63
PX4339CBWRF	1271	41.30	1.21	5.08	30.68	85.83	6.83	5.08	9.60
DP 1311 B2RF	1271	43.30	1.14	5.28	27.05	84.75	6.93	5.08	9.18
PX433915WRF	1244	40.78	1.19	5.00	30.13	85.30	6.68	5.08	10.08
DP 1048 B2RF	1237	42.78	1.17	4.88	28.15	85.13	7.13	5.33	10.40
NG 1511 B2RF	1236	42.23	1.15	5.18	28.40	85.28	7.73	5.20	10.35
PHY 375 WRF	1227	41.40	1.13	4.98	29.18	84.93	6.60	5.25	10.55
PX433906WRF	1202	40.48	1.19	4.95	31.05	85.65	6.88	5.15	9.95
ST 5288B2F	1193	40.48	1.15	5.53	26.65	84.38	6.73	5.35	10.03
DG 2595 B2RF	1184	40.60	1.18	5.20	29.05	85.18	6.53	5.05	10.03
PHY499WRF	1173	43.30	1.16	5.33	30.08	85.40	7.03	5.30	10.15
DP 1044 B2RF	1162	39.15	1.17	4.85	28.35	85.10	7.05	4.68	9.83
DP 0912 B2RF	1151	39.60	1.13	5.45	27.55	85.33	6.73	5.05	10.58
NGX 0012 B2RF	1145	43.10	1.17	4.98	27.98	85.80	7.33	5.08	9.83
PHY367WRF	1141	40.65	1.16	5.00	29.43	84.35	6.78	4.95	10.08
AM1550 B2RF	1122	40.48	1.12	5.10	27.08	85.35	6.38	5.25	10.25
DG 2570 B2RF	1109	41.28	1.15	5.28	27.83	84.78	7.33	5.68	10.43
FM 1944GLB2	1107	40.28	1.18	5.25	29.60	85.28	5.80	5.53	10.05
DG 2530 B2RF	1079	41.83	1.23	5.05	30.93	86.40	6.98	5.25	9.98
CG 3428 B2RF	1078	40.63	1.22	5.08	28.73	86.35	6.73	4.98	9.58
DP 1219 B2RF	1061	40.98	1.20	5.08	32.13	85.15	6.33	4.95	9.68
DG 2610 B2RF	1035	42.85	1.16	4.95	28.73	85.70	7.58	5.30	9.85
PX532211WRF	1000	39.15	1.22	4.65	29.08	85.13	6.60	5.23	10.68
DP 1359 B2RF	996	42.03	1.18	5.33	30.25	84.65	6.18	4.78	10.13
HQ 210 CT	980	39.60	1.14	5.25	30.33	84.30	6.45	5.15	10.23
BX 1348GLB2	963	39.78	1.22	5.20	28.55	84.95	5.65	4.98	10.08
LA 122	958	41.05	1.17	4.88	28.75	85.63	7.05	5.38	9.88
NITRO 44 B2RF	937	37.85	1.23	4.48	33.38	86.40	7.03	5.65	10.90
MON 11R136B2R2	909	40.15	1.24	4.98	30.60	86.05	6.68	5.15	9.85
UA 222	874	37.50	1.23	5.33	30.38	86.15	7.03	6.05	11.30
UA48	727	37.63	1.27	5.35	35.48	86.93	5.90	5.58	10.35
Overall Mean	1138	41.08	1.18	5.09	29.36	85.38	6.81	5.22	10.1
LSD (0.05)	161	1.43	0.03	0.24	1.5	0.92	0.43	0.42	1.04
C.V. (%)	9.97	2.49	1.76	3.38	3.64	0.77	4.53	5.76	7.36

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 9. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated Leeper silty clay loam at the North Mississippi Research and Extension Center in Verona, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
PX433906WRF	1926	41.23	1.22	4.53	30.75	86.33	7.03	4.95	9.35
CG 3787 B2RF	1897	43.05	1.21	4.38	28.00	86.35	7.13	5.05	9.05
NG 1511 B2RF	1885	43.63	1.17	4.68	30.30	86.08	7.30	5.50	9.68
PHY499WRF	1876	42.83	1.18	4.85	32.18	86.53	7.60	5.20	9.60
DP 1137 B2RF	1868	42.88	1.19	4.60	28.38	86.23	7.13	5.13	8.95
DP 1048 B2RF	1867	42.60	1.20	4.43	28.48	86.05	7.30	4.75	9.13
DP 1321 B2RF	1834	42.23	1.20	4.60	29.38	86.55	7.38	5.13	9.85
PX4339CBWRF	1824	40.80	1.22	4.43	29.28	86.43	7.08	4.95	9.50
DP 1034 B2RF	1823	42.40	1.20	4.40	28.48	86.78	7.00	5.03	9.10
DG 2610 B2RF	1799	42.45	1.21	4.20	29.23	86.70	7.13	4.93	8.88
DP 0912 B2RF	1798	40.63	1.16	5.10	29.03	85.75	6.85	5.35	10.03
BX 1348GLB2	1793	39.88	1.26	4.60	29.78	86.13	6.18	5.10	9.78
PX433915WRF	1788	40.48	1.22	4.38	28.20	86.78	6.78	4.83	9.70
CT12214	1786	40.68	1.22	4.23	28.30	86.73	6.93	5.25	10.13
PX532211WRF	1776	40.45	1.25	4.20	28.18	86.98	6.63	5.33	9.73
DP 1133 B2RF	1776	43.33	1.20	4.60	30.60	86.88	7.00	4.78	8.90
DG 2530 B2RF	1774	41.93	1.23	4.68	29.95	87.30	6.78	4.98	9.43
DG 2570 B2RF	1765	40.90	1.19	4.58	29.98	86.05	6.98	5.58	10.08
DP 1219 B2RF	1744	42.53	1.25	4.35	32.45	87.15	6.80	4.60	8.75
CG 3428 B2RF	1741	42.48	1.25	4.50	28.28	86.48	6.73	4.68	8.78
NGX 0012 B2RF	1737	42.98	1.21	4.40	28.33	86.90	7.20	5.03	9.05
NITRO 44 B2RF	1730	39.80	1.27	4.00	33.33	87.60	7.05	5.45	10.58
DP 1044 B2RF	1716	40.58	1.17	4.28	29.73	85.25	7.03	4.70	9.03
FM 1944GLB2	1704	38.88	1.26	4.50	32.13	86.73	6.25	5.53	10.65
DP 0920 B2RF	1689	41.28	1.19	4.83	28.03	85.78	6.78	4.80	9.48
PHY 375 WRF	1679	41.03	1.19	4.55	30.33	86.00	6.80	5.25	10.15
AM1550 B2RF	1658	41.00	1.17	4.38	27.98	86.03	6.60	5.13	9.75
DP 1311 B2RF	1637	42.40	1.21	4.50	28.05	86.75	7.10	4.73	8.43
BX 1346GLB2	1614	40.70	1.22	4.43	31.25	86.58	6.95	5.43	10.78
DP 1359 B2RF	1611	41.93	1.23	4.35	31.35	86.25	6.55	4.80	8.45
PHY367WRF	1573	40.33	1.23	4.28	31.20	85.90	7.03	4.73	10.08
ST 5288B2F	1564	39.93	1.20	4.43	29.05	86.10	6.73	4.98	9.10
DG 2595 B2RF	1539	40.65	1.22	4.73	29.75	86.43	6.75	4.93	9.48
MON 11R136B2R2	1365	40.05	1.28	4.20	31.95	86.68	6.83	5.08	9.40
HQ 210 CT	1362	42.68	1.14	4.70	32.00	85.15	7.03	5.40	10.10
Overall Mean	1728	41.47	1.21	4.48	29.82	86.41	6.92	5.05	9.51
LSD (0.05)	186	0.7	0.03	0.29	1.45	1.1	0.33	0.32	0.67
C.V. (%)	7.61	1.24	1.73	4.57	3.46	0.9	3.4	4.53	4.99

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 10. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated Marietta fine sandy loam at Mississippi State University near Starkville, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
DP 1034 B2RF	1573	43.53	1.21	4.10	29.75	86.25	7.45	5.05	9.68
DP 1219 B2RF	1568	43.38	1.25	4.10	33.18	86.63	7.20	4.75	9.40
CG 3428 B2RF	1563	43.15	1.25	4.50	28.83	87.00	7.23	4.60	9.48
DP 1137 B2RF	1559	43.48	1.18	4.28	29.45	86.20	7.63	5.63	10.03
DP 1048 B2RF	1530	43.53	1.22	4.23	29.60	86.98	7.58	5.03	9.83
CG 3787 B2RF	1529	43.40	1.22	4.15	29.20	86.80	7.58	5.05	9.65
DG 2610 B2RF	1507	43.33	1.22	4.05	29.43	87.15	7.55	5.13	10.13
DG 2530 B2RF	1484	43.00	1.26	4.20	31.80	88.20	7.53	5.10	9.93
PX4339CBWRF	1481	40.40	1.24	4.18	30.83	86.90	7.18	4.85	9.88
DP 1321 B2RF	1475	42.90	1.20	4.53	29.78	86.33	7.35	5.05	9.80
PX433906WRF	1465	40.68	1.25	4.20	30.15	86.38	7.10	4.78	9.83
NGX 0012 B2RF	1451	42.65	1.18	4.25	29.35	86.88	7.95	5.20	10.13
ST 5288B2F	1430	40.53	1.20	4.35	30.73	85.40	7.13	5.00	9.33
FM 1944GLB2	1412	40.25	1.27	4.28	33.13	86.93	6.70	5.65	10.93
PX433915WRF	1377	39.95	1.25	4.10	30.68	86.88	7.15	4.85	10.20
NG 1511 B2RF	1363	43.58	1.21	4.40	31.53	86.05	7.73	5.38	9.83
PHY499WRF	1347	42.18	1.23	4.33	30.93	87.30	7.65	5.10	9.83
DP 1133 B2RF	1340	43.75	1.21	4.35	31.58	87.00	7.80	4.78	9.73
BX 1348GLB2	1326	40.13	1.27	3.80	30.65	86.30	6.55	4.70	9.93
NITRO 44 B2RF	1326	40.58	1.29	3.90	32.53	87.65	7.33	5.80	11.18
PX532211WRF	1309	39.58	1.28	3.78	28.80	87.38	6.88	4.75	10.20
DP 0912 B2RF	1307	40.75	1.19	4.55	31.43	86.45	7.15	5.20	10.00
DP 0920 B2RF	1275	42.18	1.18	4.38	28.93	85.90	7.10	4.43	9.30
AM1550 B2RF	1261	40.58	1.17	4.08	29.90	85.90	7.13	4.98	10.28
PHY 375 WRF	1255	42.35	1.18	4.08	30.53	85.93	7.13	4.73	9.58
DP 1311 B2RF	1243	42.68	1.24	4.13	29.73	86.65	7.78	4.63	9.00
CT12214	1239	40.98	1.23	4.23	30.33	87.03	7.60	5.43	10.70
DG 2595 B2RF	1235	42.33	1.23	4.60	30.80	86.68	7.28	4.93	10.05
DP 1359 B2RF	1194	44.10	1.23	4.08	32.75	86.48	6.88	4.63	8.70
LA 122	1163	41.05	1.23	3.98	29.60	86.25	7.53	5.13	10.05
BX 1346GLB2	1142	41.13	1.23	4.20	30.78	86.70	7.38	5.38	10.73
DG 2570 B2RF	1110	39.90	1.20	4.28	30.95	86.45	7.78	5.20	10.65
PHY367WRF	1095	40.93	1.21	4.08	30.80	85.93	7.40	4.55	9.63
DP 1044 B2RF	1094	39.88	1.18	4.05	29.90	85.68	7.58	4.68	9.05
MON 11R136B2R2	1093	42.83	1.25	4.15	32.65	88.00	7.63	5.43	9.33
HQ 210 CT	1055	40.20	1.18	4.13	31.68	85.70	7.25	4.63	9.45
UA48	894	37.75	1.33	4.93	37.90	88.95	7.25	6.05	12.53
UA 222	608	38.38	1.32	4.50	31.10	87.73	7.70	5.63	11.53
Overall Mean	1307	41.63	1.23	4.22	30.83	86.71	7.36	5.05	9.98
LSD (0.05)	190	1.19	0.026	0.23	1.55	0.94	0.34	0.36	0.67
C.V. (%)	10.39	2.03	1.49	3.94	3.58	0.78	3.31	5.15	4.78

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 11. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated Dubbs very fine sandy loam on Cliff Heaton Farms near Clarksdale, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
PX433906WRF	1448	43.73	1.19	4.48	30.65	86.28	7.40	4.78	9.05
NG 1511 B2RF	1442	45.78	1.14	4.68	30.53	85.58	7.80	5.15	9.28
PHY499WRF	1407	45.25	1.13	4.70	30.98	85.43	7.80	4.93	9.15
DP 1321 B2RF	1398	44.23	1.15	4.80	29.20	85.33	7.90	4.93	9.63
PX4339CBWRF	1394	43.20	1.19	4.38	30.50	86.05	7.30	4.83	9.13
PX433915WRF	1392	42.80	1.18	4.53	30.60	85.63	7.33	4.80	9.25
AM1550 B2RF	1386	42.65	1.10	4.50	26.65	84.73	6.90	5.18	9.48
ST 5288B2F	1333	43.58	1.14	4.80	27.73	85.10	7.18	4.80	9.33
FM 1944GLB2	1320	42.70	1.20	4.65	29.75	85.78	6.20	5.65	9.65
DP 1219 B2RF	1308	44.10	1.17	4.25	32.00	85.35	6.95	4.58	8.13
CT12214	1300	43.40	1.17	4.45	28.43	85.30	7.38	4.83	9.25
BX 1346GLB2	1285	42.18	1.17	4.48	31.13	85.20	7.35	5.40	9.73
DG 2595 B2RF	1266	44.95	1.15	4.63	29.55	85.00	6.98	4.60	8.60
DP 0912 B2RF	1265	42.50	1.13	4.93	29.40	85.40	7.25	5.20	9.23
DP 1311 B2RF	1261	45.65	1.13	4.65	27.25	85.50	7.33	4.68	8.00
DG 2570 B2RF	1258	42.75	1.13	4.63	29.98	85.28	7.50	5.40	9.30
PHY 375 WRF	1249	43.75	1.12	4.35	27.75	84.75	6.75	4.75	9.10
PX532211WRF	1232	41.38	1.18	4.15	29.05	85.90	6.98	4.60	9.08
DP 1359 B2RF	1198	45.55	1.15	4.33	30.00	86.05	6.78	4.53	8.45
PHY367WRF	1165	42.40	1.15	4.28	29.18	85.70	7.35	4.60	8.63
UA48	1159	39.73	1.28	4.95	35.63	87.10	6.83	5.75	10.95
DP 0920 B2RF	1153	44.28	1.15	4.73	26.83	84.98	6.88	4.75	8.78
DP 1044 B2RF	1137	41.65	1.11	4.28	26.75	84.28	7.33	4.30	8.40
CG 3787 B2RF	1105	44.45	1.12	4.48	28.65	85.65	7.63	4.73	8.75
DP 1034 B2RF	1082	44.43	1.14	4.48	28.35	86.03	7.45	4.78	8.55
MON 11R136B2R2	1053	42.85	1.20	4.03	29.55	86.68	6.98	4.73	8.33
BX 1348GLB2	1024	43.00	1.18	4.18	28.88	85.73	6.33	4.53	8.35
HQ 210 CT	1012	41.55	1.11	4.33	30.05	84.73	7.03	4.60	8.80
CG 3428 B2RF	986	43.68	1.18	4.55	28.25	86.65	7.15	4.28	8.78
DP 1133 B2RF	978	44.88	1.12	4.45	30.98	86.18	7.60	4.48	8.63
DG 2610 B2RF	973	43.80	1.14	4.08	28.43	85.68	7.43	4.40	8.83
NITRO 44 B2RF	972	41.25	1.20	3.58	31.55	86.03	7.20	4.60	9.98
LA 122	968	43.98	1.14	4.33	28.40	85.70	7.20	5.30	8.58
DP 1048 B2RF	966	44.30	1.14	4.15	27.65	85.83	7.28	4.23	8.70
UA 222	961	41.18	1.22	4.13	28.68	85.68	7.50	4.93	9.35
DP 1137 B2RF	955	44.23	1.13	4.25	28.73	85.68	7.63	4.78	8.75
DG 2530 B2RF	952	44.03	1.15	4.10	29.85	86.80	7.43	4.38	8.15
NGX 0012 B2RF	912	43.43	1.14	3.88	27.18	86.63	7.48	4.95	8.43
Overall Mean	1172	43.4	1.15	4.41	29.33	85.67	7.23	4.81	8.96
LSD (0.05)	250	1.17	0.03	0.29	1.62	1.088	0.33	0.48	0.71
C.V. (%)	14.87	1.92	1.8	4.63	3.95	0.91	3.3	7.09	5.62

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 12. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Tensas silty clay loam on Dale Pillow Farms near Schlater, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
NG 1511 B2RF	1807	41.63	1.17	5.00	30.15	85.48	7.03	5.80	10.33
PX433915WRF	1804	41.10	1.19	4.95	28.60	85.83	6.58	4.85	10.40
CG 3787 B2RF	1775	42.80	1.17	4.88	28.28	85.40	6.70	5.63	9.35
DP 1321 B2RF	1767	41.33	1.17	5.23	29.33	85.60	7.20	5.33	10.88
CT12214	1749	40.45	1.17	4.80	28.78	86.10	6.73	5.68	10.58
DP 1048 B2RF	1699	42.38	1.16	4.93	27.75	85.90	6.78	5.30	9.55
DP 1219 B2RF	1670	42.08	1.16	5.35	32.58	84.35	6.75	4.98	8.85
PHY 375 WRF	1670	41.50	1.16	4.95	29.83	84.88	6.53	5.23	10.33
DP 1137 B2RF	1669	43.30	1.12	5.05	27.13	85.28	6.70	5.45	9.75
UA 222	1663	40.75	1.21	5.10	29.93	85.63	7.00	5.63	11.48
BX 1348GLB2	1660	39.80	1.23	4.75	29.65	85.55	5.95	4.83	9.85
FM 1944GLB2	1634	39.33	1.23	4.98	32.18	86.03	6.03	5.48	10.73
DP 1311 B2RF	1631	43.00	1.12	5.10	27.13	84.38	6.78	5.00	8.78
DP 1359 B2RF	1627	43.18	1.16	5.33	30.50	84.60	6.80	5.25	9.68
MON 11R136B2R2	1626	38.75	1.26	4.83	32.55	87.18	6.68	5.43	10.15
BX 1346GLB2	1614	39.33	1.19	5.18	31.15	86.50	7.03	6.50	12.13
DP 0912 B2RF	1613	38.45	1.15	5.33	29.70	85.15	6.60	5.35	10.30
PX433906WRF	1612	41.20	1.20	4.83	31.25	86.25	6.85	5.13	9.88
PHY499WRF	1602	43.13	1.15	5.10	31.13	85.73	7.40	5.33	10.08
DP 0920 B2RF	1599	41.25	1.13	5.20	28.18	84.93	6.63	4.90	9.70
DP 1034 B2RF	1599	43.38	1.15	5.00	26.98	85.75	6.83	5.45	9.65
NGX 0012 B2RF	1593	42.60	1.16	4.90	27.93	85.25	7.05	5.38	9.88
DG 2570 B2RF	1578	41.55	1.14	5.20	30.13	86.10	7.15	5.80	10.68
DG 2595 B2RF	1569	40.33	1.19	5.40	30.40	85.70	6.65	4.98	10.65
ST 5288B2F	1552	39.83	1.16	5.20	27.98	83.98	6.33	5.30	9.88
AM1550 B2RF	1499	40.30	1.14	4.93	27.80	85.65	6.50	5.58	10.75
DP 1133 B2RF	1464	41.88	1.18	4.90	30.03	86.25	6.73	4.78	9.45
DP 1044 B2RF	1444	39.40	1.15	5.03	27.30	84.35	6.73	4.73	9.53
DG 2530 B2RF	1436	43.70	1.21	4.98	30.08	86.58	6.78	5.10	9.83
DG 2610 B2RF	1436	41.73	1.16	4.80	27.90	85.60	6.85	5.30	9.88
LA 122	1431	40.93	1.17	4.78	29.18	85.48	6.78	5.38	10.10
PX4339CBWRF	1431	40.55	1.21	4.68	30.45	86.28	6.85	4.90	9.85
HQ 210 CT	1333	39.13	1.16	4.85	31.33	85.30	6.73	5.03	10.25
CG 3428 B2RF	1314	41.33	1.20	4.90	28.48	85.68	6.68	4.83	9.35
PX532211WRF	1270	39.18	1.20	4.90	29.58	85.23	6.63	4.90	10.55
NITRO 44 B2RF	1199	36.75	1.23	4.45	32.13	86.28	6.80	5.28	11.13
PHY367WRF	1156	38.93	1.19	4.65	30.23	85.85	6.90	4.73	9.80
UA48	1120	37.13	1.28	5.30	37.90	87.85	6.85	6.25	12.83
Overall Mean	1550	40.88	1.78	4.99	29.78	85.63	6.75	5.28	10.18
LSD (0.05)	339	1.78	0.03	0.3	1.69	0.95	0.31	0.54	0.67
C.V. (%)	15.6	3.1	1.92	4.22	4.05	0.79	3.27	7.26	4.73

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 13. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated Commerce very fine sandy loam on Clark Carter Farms near Rolling Fork, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
PX433906WRF	1349	39.75	1.22	3.95	30.08	86.88	6.55	4.98	9.73
PX433915WRF	1250	38.15	1.25	4.05	29.80	86.43	6.40	4.95	9.70
DG 2570 B2RF	1236	38.25	1.20	4.25	30.13	85.60	6.98	5.70	10.15
NG 1511 B2RF	1234	40.70	1.19	4.25	30.65	86.33	7.10	5.45	9.88
PHY367WRF	1218	38.25	1.19	4.03	30.08	85.50	6.80	4.50	9.68
CG 3787 B2RF	1215	39.93	1.22	3.95	29.48	86.13	7.20	5.18	9.73
PX4339CBWRF	1212	38.43	1.23	3.93	29.90	86.08	6.65	4.73	9.63
DP 1321 B2RF	1199	39.03	1.22	4.23	29.65	86.80	7.10	4.95	9.98
DP 1048 B2RF	1193	40.15	1.21	4.05	28.10	85.88	6.95	5.00	9.45
DP 0912 B2RF	1190	38.00	1.17	4.50	29.63	85.78	6.55	4.95	9.78
PHY 375 WRF	1159	39.65	1.17	4.18	28.73	85.05	6.38	4.90	9.43
ST 5288B2F	1157	38.30	1.21	4.20	28.93	84.85	6.83	5.03	9.00
PHY499WRF	1147	40.45	1.18	4.55	31.00	86.33	7.03	5.28	10.08
HQ 210 CT	1123	37.78	1.18	4.08	30.23	85.13	6.43	4.80	9.25
CT12214	1092	37.95	1.23	4.13	29.13	86.13	6.73	5.35	10.35
NITRO 44 B2RF	1073	36.70	1.27	3.63	31.90	86.58	6.58	5.15	10.80
DP 1133 B2RF	1065	40.08	1.22	3.88	30.23	86.63	6.85	4.40	8.75
DG 2530 B2RF	1061	39.90	1.26	3.85	30.38	86.08	6.70	4.53	8.95
NGX 0012 B2RF	1059	40.25	1.22	4.00	28.53	87.53	6.93	5.18	9.75
FM 1944GLB2	1058	36.38	1.27	4.10	32.45	86.65	6.10	5.90	10.83
DP 1311 B2RF	1050	39.73	1.19	3.93	28.48	85.25	6.58	4.45	8.43
AM1550 B2RF	1049	37.88	1.17	3.88	28.15	84.95	6.40	5.45	9.60
DG 2595 B2RF	1046	38.95	1.21	4.35	28.75	85.83	6.55	4.68	9.43
BX 1348GLB2	1043	37.90	1.27	3.95	29.45	85.88	5.90	4.60	9.38
DP 1034 B2RF	1027	39.40	1.21	3.85	29.48	86.00	7.03	4.90	9.13
CG 3428 B2RF	1021	39.25	1.26	3.80	29.63	86.35	6.70	4.70	9.10
DP 0920 B2RF	1008	39.33	1.19	4.45	27.25	85.53	6.30	4.50	9.18
PX532211WRF	988	36.25	1.26	3.90	28.88	86.48	6.50	5.20	10.45
DP 1359 B2RF	969	41.00	1.22	4.13	30.63	85.03	6.30	4.55	8.85
LA 122	958	38.88	1.23	3.85	28.95	85.68	6.80	4.88	9.50
DG 2610 B2RF	952	39.13	1.22	4.03	28.98	86.23	6.93	4.80	9.80
UA 222	939	37.75	1.26	4.43	29.55	86.15	6.90	5.53	11.10
DP 1219 B2RF	937	38.75	1.23	3.85	33.40	85.50	6.50	4.63	8.83
UA48	902	35.50	1.31	4.53	36.53	87.68	6.53	5.73	11.70
BX 1346GLB2	893	37.30	1.19	4.10	31.10	85.20	6.85	5.53	10.33
DP 1044 B2RF	892	37.63	1.18	4.03	29.65	84.98	6.80	4.53	9.10
DP 1137 B2RF	835	40.18	1.16	4.08	28.53	85.60	6.85	4.83	9.18
MON 11R136B2R2	754	37.43	1.27	3.73	31.80	86.25	6.50	4.65	8.95
Overall Mean	1070	38.69	1.22	4.06	29.95	85.97	6.68	4.97	9.65
LSD (0.05)	228	1.11	0.03	0.35	1.62	1.17	0.33	0.49	0.79
C.V. (%)	15.11	2.04	1.84	6.1	3.85	0.97	3.54	7.01	5.87

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 14. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Memphis silty loam on Pace Perry Farms near Senatobia, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
CG 3787 B2RF	1456	43.15	1.14	5.00	30.58	85.40	7.03	4.88	9.03
DP 1133 B2RF	1436	43.93	1.15	5.28	33.23	86.00	7.03	5.03	9.45
PHY499WRF	1423	45.55	1.12	5.05	32.80	85.13	7.43	4.65	9.28
DP 1048 B2RF	1418	43.07	1.16	4.97	29.90	85.97	6.60	4.87	9.30
NG 1511 B2RF	1411	44.25	1.16	5.03	32.68	85.70	7.23	5.40	10.45
DP 1321 B2RF	1382	43.10	1.15	5.08	32.25	85.80	7.58	5.10	10.23
PX433906WRF	1381	43.43	1.17	4.73	33.25	85.48	7.08	5.00	9.43
UA 222	1354	40.63	1.22	4.93	32.73	85.80	7.28	5.60	11.20
PHY 375 WRF	1351	43.10	1.11	4.83	29.63	85.23	6.48	4.75	9.75
PX4339CBWRF	1344	43.20	1.17	4.78	31.63	85.78	6.78	4.75	9.58
PX433915WRF	1344	42.80	1.18	4.68	31.23	84.95	6.63	4.50	9.43
DP 1034 B2RF	1322	43.30	1.14	5.08	29.70	85.58	7.03	4.40	9.18
DP 1137 B2RF	1316	43.78	1.13	5.00	29.63	84.65	6.88	4.88	9.40
NGX 0012 B2RF	1307	44.15	1.15	5.18	28.55	85.58	6.93	5.03	9.50
CT12214	1306	42.47	1.18	4.90	30.87	86.23	7.03	4.77	10.53
BX 1348GLB2	1304	41.48	1.19	4.83	29.28	85.23	5.85	4.43	9.80
DG 2610 B2RF	1299	43.28	1.16	4.95	29.90	85.90	7.15	4.95	9.48
LA 122	1288	43.00	1.16	4.85	29.93	84.98	6.65	4.93	9.73
DP 1311 B2RF	1285	44.45	1.12	4.85	28.45	84.55	6.68	4.88	8.28
DP 1219 B2RF	1280	42.35	1.16	4.95	34.45	84.90	6.63	4.65	9.08
DP 1359 B2RF	1277	43.08	1.14	5.20	32.60	84.60	6.58	4.30	8.53
DP 0912 B2RF	1257	41.38	1.13	5.03	32.00	84.90	6.88	4.80	9.88
AM1550 B2RF	1253	41.78	1.11	4.78	29.03	85.15	6.50	5.08	10.03
DP 0920 B2RF	1243	41.78	1.15	4.75	27.75	85.53	6.53	4.65	9.28
BX 1346GLB2	1241	41.30	1.17	4.68	33.08	85.80	7.23	5.73	11.28
CG 3428 B2RF	1227	43.05	1.18	5.20	30.13	85.08	6.85	4.40	9.48
DP 1044 B2RF	1209	40.10	1.15	4.58	30.70	84.55	7.03	4.58	9.38
DG 2595 B2RF	1207	42.18	1.17	5.38	31.98	84.93	6.98	4.73	9.78
PX532211WRF	1198	41.23	1.17	4.83	30.23	85.80	6.45	4.90	9.90
DG 2530 B2RF	1192	44.03	1.16	5.08	31.40	85.68	7.08	4.78	9.28
ST 5288B2F	1189	41.48	1.13	5.00	30.03	84.60	6.58	4.75	9.55
DG 2570 B2RF	1187	41.33	1.14	4.83	31.53	85.05	7.05	5.40	10.55
PHY367WRF	1167	41.33	1.16	4.65	30.38	84.75	6.85	4.20	9.38
NITRO 44 B2RF	1145	39.90	1.22	4.55	36.23	86.40	7.10	5.18	10.58
FM 1944GLB2	1133	39.85	1.20	4.75	32.73	84.95	6.13	4.98	10.95
HQ 210 CT	1106	40.03	1.17	4.70	33.95	84.38	6.88	5.03	9.83
MON 11R136B2R2	1102	40.80	1.19	4.78	32.68	85.60	6.80	4.93	9.70
UA48	1052	36.98	1.27	5.00	39.05	87.48	7.10	5.35	12.80
Overall Mean	1272	4.26	1.16	4.91	31.49	85.36	6.85	4.87	9.79
LSD (0.05)	177	1.34	0.03	0.27	1.46	1.04	0.32	0.52	0.74
C.V. (%)	9.81	2.24	1.81	3.93	3.28	0.86	3.34	7.58	5.39

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 15. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Sharkey Clay on George Perry Farms near Tunica, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
DP 1321 B2RF	1792	41.08	1.20	5.50	30.10	87.15	7.33	5.18	11.03
PHY499WRF	1703	42.58	1.20	5.20	34.15	87.45	7.38	5.73	10.73
NG 1511 B2RF	1687	42.00	1.19	5.13	31.70	86.75	7.35	5.65	11.28
BX 1346GLB2	1673	39.30	1.20	5.33	32.60	86.35	7.18	6.33	12.35
ST 5288B2F	1671	39.95	1.20	5.35	29.58	85.58	6.70	5.43	9.48
DP 1044 B2RF	1666	39.38	1.19	5.08	30.10	86.58	7.18	5.08	10.20
UA 222	1648	38.68	1.28	5.08	31.53	87.98	7.40	5.93	12.13
PX4339CBWRF	1606	40.55	1.22	4.80	31.85	87.08	6.83	5.33	10.65
DP 0912 B2RF	1586	39.23	1.17	5.58	30.78	86.23	6.80	5.33	11.15
PHY 375 WRF	1541	41.93	1.18	5.10	30.83	86.58	6.83	5.28	10.73
CT12214	1540	39.78	1.20	4.93	29.85	86.68	7.03	5.20	11.20
PHY367WRF	1508	40.68	1.19	4.93	32.18	86.38	7.15	4.85	10.40
PX433906WRF	1493	40.13	1.24	4.85	31.58	87.50	6.88	5.35	10.48
PX433915WRF	1488	40.10	1.22	4.83	31.83	86.73	6.93	5.20	10.48
HQ 210 CT	1470	39.55	1.19	5.13	33.03	86.25	6.88	5.43	10.85
DP 0920 B2RF	1424	40.30	1.20	5.40	29.85	86.40	6.63	5.63	10.38
DG 2570 B2RF	1395	40.25	1.21	5.25	31.20	87.20	7.30	5.90	11.68
FM 1944GLB2	1380	38.70	1.27	5.20	33.13	87.90	6.43	5.60	11.68
LA 122	1347	40.55	1.21	4.70	30.88	87.13	6.95	5.43	10.35
CG 3787 B2RF	1339	41.40	1.22	4.95	29.60	87.48	7.15	5.25	10.25
AM1550 B2RF	1339	40.00	1.18	5.00	29.45	87.25	6.83	5.58	11.48
BX 1348GLB2	1331	38.53	1.27	4.78	30.45	87.33	6.13	4.85	10.53
DP 1311 B2RF	1275	40.80	1.20	4.88	29.65	86.63	6.75	4.78	9.60
DG 2595 B2RF	1247	40.45	1.22	5.33	32.15	87.03	6.83	5.23	10.95
DP 1137 B2RF	1221	40.78	1.18	5.05	30.83	86.63	6.95	5.73	10.73
DP 1133 B2RF	1216	41.38	1.19	4.90	32.55	87.28	7.10	4.98	9.95
DP 1034 B2RF	1211	40.83	1.21	4.83	29.50	86.73	7.08	5.45	10.63
PX532211WRF	1156	38.75	1.26	4.65	30.23	86.85	6.53	5.45	10.98
CG 3428 B2RF	1139	40.08	1.25	5.13	29.33	87.38	6.73	5.00	10.53
UA48	1105	35.95	1.32	5.45	38.75	88.93	7.00	5.70	13.18
DG 2530 B2RF	1092	40.35	1.24	4.95	31.95	88.15	6.90	5.48	10.85
DP 1048 B2RF	1084	40.80	1.20	4.80	29.18	86.55	6.88	5.15	10.53
MON 11R136B2R2	1083	38.78	1.29	4.83	33.10	87.98	6.85	5.10	10.85
NGX 0012 B2RF	1062	41.65	1.19	4.95	30.23	87.20	7.38	5.15	10.75
DP 1359 B2RF	1028	42.00	1.26	5.10	33.95	87.23	6.73	5.08	9.88
DP 1219 B2RF	1021	41.28	1.26	4.78	34.18	87.58	6.83	5.00	9.63
NITRO 44 B2RF	927	37.08	1.29	4.35	34.13	88.10	6.98	5.83	13.18
DG 2610 B2RF	861	38.68	1.22	4.80	31.70	86.98	7.23	5.35	11.38
Overall Mean	1352	40.11	1.22	5.02	31.51	87.08	6.94	5.37	10.87
LSD (0.05)	201	1.2	0.03	0.2	1.87	0.93	0.27	0.61	0.76
C.V. (%)	10.47	2.14	1.7	2.77	4.23	0.76	2.73	8.11	4.99

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 16. One-year mean yield performance of varieties submitted to the NEW variety trial at nine locations in Mississippi during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	<i>lb/A</i>	%	<i>in</i>		<i>g/tex</i>	%	%	<i>g</i>	<i>g</i>
DP 1321 B2RF	1512	41.90	1.18	4.94	29.97	86.02	7.41	5.07	10.14
PX433906WRF	1452	41.34	1.21	4.57	31.08	86.22	6.94	4.96	9.68
PX433915WRF	1429	40.66	1.21	4.59	30.21	86.04	6.79	4.88	10.01
PX4339CBWRF	1412	40.93	1.21	4.55	30.68	86.26	6.89	4.94	9.78
CT12214	1381	40.75	1.20	4.60	29.45	86.27	7.05	5.25	10.47
DP 0912 B2RF	1369	40.11	1.16	5.09	29.98	85.67	6.86	5.15	10.09
PHY 375 WRF	1355	41.77	1.15	4.65	29.71	85.39	6.68	4.99	9.98
ST 5288B2F	1340	40.57	1.17	4.88	28.91	84.95	6.76	5.08	9.46
FM 1944GLB2	1302	39.58	1.24	4.74	32.00	86.33	6.21	5.54	10.76
BX 1346GLB2	1282	40.30	1.19	4.73	31.49	86.02	7.11	5.73	10.96
DP 1311 B2RF	1280	42.86	1.17	4.69	28.24	85.51	7.00	4.77	8.84
DG 2595 B2RF	1276	41.38	1.20	4.97	30.57	85.91	6.82	4.91	9.91
BX 1348GLB2	1263	40.11	1.23	4.53	29.63	85.83	6.06	4.74	9.75
CG 3428 B2RF	1233	41.67	1.22	4.73	29.04	86.39	6.86	4.69	9.41
NGX 0012 B2RF	1232	42.78	1.18	4.58	28.70	86.43	7.28	5.10	9.71
DP 1359 B2RF	1231	42.95	1.19	4.74	31.58	85.60	6.61	4.73	9.17
DG 2530 B2RF	1220	42.36	1.22	4.63	30.90	86.95	7.02	4.95	9.54
PX532211WRF	1161	39.47	1.23	4.41	29.33	86.26	6.66	5.02	10.23
LA 122	1138	41.45	1.18	4.52	29.27	85.75	6.96	5.15	9.81
NITRO 44 B2RF	1127	38.67	1.25	4.16	33.21	86.87	7.00	5.36	10.99
MON 11R136B2R2	1053	40.36	1.25	4.49	31.92	86.87	6.87	5.09	9.59
UA48	1001	37.16	1.30	5.11	37.42	87.80	6.78	5.72	11.90
Overall Mean	1278	40.88	1.21	4.67	30.58	86.15	6.84	5.08	9.99
LSD (0.05)	132	0.79	0.017	0.21	0.71	0.45	0.16	0.21	0.38
C.V. (%)	22.15	4.14	2.97	3.33	4.99	1.13	4.98	8.51	8.14

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 17. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Bosket very fine sandy loam at the Delta Research and Extension Center near Stoneville, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	<i>lb/A</i>	%	<i>in</i>		<i>g/tex</i>	%	%	<i>g</i>	<i>g</i>
DP 1321 B2RF	1276	42.00	1.20	5.20	30.65	85.83	7.38	4.95	10.05
PX433906WRF	1222	41.50	1.19	4.65	30.95	85.23	6.75	4.55	9.48
DG 2595 B2RF	1193	41.98	1.22	5.15	32.73	86.48	6.83	5.10	10.20
PX433915WRF	1172	39.83	1.22	4.80	30.88	85.90	6.63	4.85	10.83
DP 1359 B2RF	1164	43.68	1.20	4.85	32.23	85.50	6.68	4.63	9.90
DP 0912 B2RF	1156	40.50	1.17	5.33	30.28	86.03	6.95	5.10	9.90
PX4339CBWRF	1143	39.95	1.23	4.70	30.98	85.98	6.55	5.10	10.20
ST 5288B2F	1126	41.10	1.19	5.08	29.55	84.55	6.65	5.10	9.50
CT12214	1103	40.05	1.23	4.80	31.38	86.65	7.08	5.23	10.90
PHY 375 WRF	1068	41.23	1.16	4.85	30.60	85.23	6.60	4.80	10.25
DP 1311 B2RF	1035	43.75	1.16	4.93	28.40	85.18	7.10	4.75	9.88
UA48	1021	36.60	1.30	5.35	38.13	87.53	6.83	5.35	10.90
LA 122	995	42.18	1.17	4.83	28.48	85.20	6.73	4.80	10.30
FM 1944GLB2	967	39.83	1.24	4.93	32.93	86.75	6.23	5.58	11.35
CG 3428 B2RF	962	41.37	1.22	5.03	30.00	86.63	6.97	4.80	9.77
BX 1346GLB2	940	40.50	1.22	4.98	32.53	85.95	7.08	5.33	10.68
BX 1348GLB2	922	40.50	1.23	4.65	29.95	85.35	6.05	4.65	10.10
DG 2530 B2RF	910	42.48	1.26	4.75	31.80	87.38	7.05	4.95	9.53
PX532211WRF	835	39.28	1.24	4.60	29.98	86.63	6.75	4.80	10.53
NITRO 44 B2RF	832	38.10	1.26	4.48	33.70	86.78	6.95	5.30	10.58
NGX 0012 B2RF	824	44.18	1.17	4.68	30.25	86.10	7.28	4.93	10.05
MON 11R136B2R2	757	41.65	1.25	4.93	32.38	87.40	6.90	5.35	9.78
Overall Mean	1025	41.00	1.21	4.88	31.32	86.09	6.81	5.00	10.21
LSD (0.05)	165	1.46	0.03	0.21	1.63	1.02	0.39	0.44	1.04
C.V. (%)	11.16	2.49	1.9	2.95	3.66	0.83	4.00	6.14	7.17

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 18. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Marietta fine sandy loam at Mississippi State University near Starkville, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
CG 3428 B2RF	1563	43.15	1.25	4.50	28.83	87.00	7.23	4.60	9.48
DG 2530 B2RF	1484	43.00	1.26	4.20	31.80	88.20	7.53	5.10	9.93
PX4339CBWRF	1481	40.40	1.24	4.18	30.83	86.90	7.18	4.85	9.88
DP 1321 B2RF	1475	42.90	1.20	4.53	29.78	86.33	7.35	5.05	9.80
PX433906WRF	1465	40.68	1.25	4.20	30.15	86.38	7.10	4.78	9.83
NGX 0012 B2RF	1451	42.65	1.18	4.25	29.35	86.88	7.95	5.20	10.13
ST 5288B2F	1430	40.53	1.20	4.35	30.73	85.40	7.13	5.00	9.33
FM 1944GLB2	1412	40.25	1.27	4.28	33.13	86.93	6.70	5.65	10.93
PX433915WRF	1377	39.95	1.25	4.10	30.68	86.88	7.15	4.85	10.20
BX 1348GLB2	1326	40.13	1.27	3.80	30.65	86.30	6.55	4.70	9.93
NITRO 44 B2RF	1326	40.58	1.29	3.90	32.53	87.65	7.33	5.80	11.18
PX532211WRF	1309	39.58	1.28	3.78	28.80	87.38	6.88	4.75	10.20
DP 0912 B2RF	1307	40.75	1.19	4.55	31.43	86.45	7.15	5.20	10.00
PHY 375 WRF	1255	42.35	1.18	4.08	30.53	85.93	7.13	4.73	9.58
DP 1311 B2RF	1243	42.68	1.24	4.13	29.73	86.65	7.78	4.63	9.00
CT12214	1239	40.98	1.23	4.23	30.33	87.03	7.60	5.43	10.70
DG 2595 B2RF	1235	42.33	1.23	4.60	30.80	86.68	7.28	4.93	10.05
DP 1359 B2RF	1194	44.10	1.23	4.08	32.75	86.48	6.88	4.63	8.70
LA 122	1163	41.05	1.23	3.98	29.60	86.25	7.53	5.13	10.05
BX 1346GLB2	1142	41.13	1.23	4.20	30.78	86.70	7.38	5.38	10.73
MON 11R136B2R2	1093	42.83	1.25	4.15	32.65	88.00	7.63	5.43	9.33
UA48	894	37.75	1.33	4.93	37.90	88.95	7.25	6.05	12.53
Overall Mean	1312	41.35	1.24	4.23	31.07	86.88	7.26	5.08	10.06
LSD (0.05)	182	1.24	0.023	0.24	1.51	0.87	0.32	0.33	0.69
C.V. (%)	9.83	2.12	1.34	4.05	3.44	0.72	3.17	4.58	4.86

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 19. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Forestdale silty clay loam at the Tribbett Satellite Farm near Tribbett, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
DP 1321 B2RF	1323	41.25	1.16	5.28	29.38	84.83	7.45	5.05	9.85
BX 1346GLB2	1311	40.95	1.16	5.18	29.83	85.93	6.95	5.95	10.63
CT12214	1297	41.45	1.17	5.03	28.33	85.63	6.93	5.40	10.63
DP 1311 B2RF	1271	43.30	1.14	5.28	27.05	84.75	6.93	5.08	9.18
PX4339CBWRF	1271	41.30	1.21	5.08	30.68	85.83	6.83	5.08	9.60
PX433915WRF	1244	40.78	1.19	5.00	30.13	85.30	6.68	5.08	10.08
PHY 375 WRF	1227	41.40	1.13	4.98	29.18	84.93	6.60	5.25	10.55
PX433906WRF	1202	40.48	1.19	4.95	31.05	85.65	6.88	5.15	9.95
ST 5288B2F	1193	40.48	1.15	5.53	26.65	84.38	6.73	5.35	10.03
DG 2595 B2RF	1184	40.60	1.18	5.20	29.05	85.18	6.53	5.05	10.03
DP 0912 B2RF	1151	39.60	1.13	5.45	27.55	85.33	6.73	5.05	10.58
NGX 0012 B2RF	1145	43.10	1.17	4.98	27.98	85.80	7.33	5.08	9.83
FM 1944GLB2	1107	40.28	1.18	5.25	29.60	85.28	5.80	5.53	10.05
DG 2530 B2RF	1079	41.83	1.23	5.05	30.93	86.40	6.98	5.25	9.98
CG 3428 B2RF	1078	40.63	1.22	5.08	28.73	86.35	6.73	4.98	9.58
PX532211WRF	1000	39.15	1.22	4.65	29.08	85.13	6.60	5.23	10.68
DP 1359 B2RF	996	42.03	1.18	5.33	30.25	84.65	6.18	4.78	10.13
BX 1348GLB2	963	39.78	1.22	5.20	28.55	84.95	5.65	4.98	10.08
LA 122	958	41.05	1.17	4.88	28.75	85.63	7.05	5.38	9.88
NITRO 44 B2RF	937	37.85	1.23	4.48	33.38	86.40	7.03	5.65	10.90
MON 11R136B2R2	909	40.15	1.24	4.98	30.60	86.05	6.68	5.15	9.85
UA48	727	37.63	1.27	5.35	35.48	86.93	5.90	5.58	10.35
Overall Mean	1117	40.68	1.19	5.1	29.64	85.51	6.69	5.23	10.11
LSD (0.05)	165	1.39	0.03	0.23	1.43	0.89	0.44	0.42	0.98
C.V. (%)	10.45	2.42	1.87	3.3	3.42	0.74	4.76	5.63	6.89

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 20. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated Leeper silty loam at the North Mississippi Research and Extension Center in Verona, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
PX433906WRF	2027	41.23	1.22	4.53	30.75	86.33	7.03	4.95	9.35
DP 1321 B2RF	1834	42.23	1.20	4.60	29.38	86.55	7.38	5.13	9.85
PX4339CBWRF	1824	40.80	1.22	4.43	29.28	86.43	7.08	4.95	9.50
DP 0912 B2RF	1798	40.63	1.16	5.10	29.03	85.75	6.85	5.35	10.03
BX 1348GLB2	1793	39.88	1.26	4.60	29.78	86.13	6.18	5.10	9.78
PX433915WRF	1788	40.48	1.22	4.38	28.20	86.78	6.78	4.83	9.70
CT12214	1786	40.68	1.22	4.23	28.30	86.73	6.93	5.25	10.13
PX532211WRF	1776	40.45	1.25	4.20	28.18	86.98	6.63	5.33	9.73
DG 2530 B2RF	1774	41.93	1.23	4.68	29.95	87.30	6.78	4.98	9.43
CG 3428 B2RF	1741	42.48	1.25	4.50	28.28	86.48	6.73	4.68	8.78
NGX 0012 B2RF	1737	42.98	1.21	4.40	28.33	86.90	7.20	5.03	9.05
NITRO 44 B2RF	1730	39.80	1.27	4.00	33.33	87.60	7.05	5.45	10.58
FM 1944GLB2	1704	38.88	1.26	4.50	32.13	86.73	6.25	5.53	10.65
PHY 375 WRF	1679	41.03	1.19	4.55	30.33	86.00	6.80	5.25	10.15
DP 1311 B2RF	1637	42.40	1.21	4.50	28.05	86.75	7.10	4.73	8.43
BX 1346GLB2	1614	40.70	1.22	4.43	31.25	86.58	6.95	5.43	10.78
DP 1359 B2RF	1611	41.93	1.23	4.35	31.35	86.25	6.55	4.80	8.45
ST 5288B2F	1564	39.93	1.20	4.43	29.05	86.10	6.73	4.98	9.10
DG 2595 B2RF	1539	40.65	1.22	4.73	29.75	86.43	6.75	4.93	9.48
MON 11R136B2R2	1365	40.05	1.28	4.20	31.95	86.68	6.83	5.08	9.40
Overall Mean	1716	40.95	1.23	4.47	29.83	86.57	6.83	5.08	9.62
LSD (0.05)	178	0.76	0.03	0.28	1.4	1.16	0.35	0.3	0.62
C.V. (%)	7.28	1.31	1.75	4.48	3.32	0.95	3.66	4.12	4.59

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 21. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated Dubbs very fine sandy loam on Cliff Heaton Farms near Clarksdale, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
DP 1321 B2RF	1557	44.23	1.15	4.80	29.20	85.33	7.90	4.93	9.63
PX433906WRF	1448	43.73	1.19	4.48	30.65	86.28	7.40	4.78	9.05
PX4339CBWRF	1394	43.20	1.19	4.38	30.50	86.05	7.30	4.83	9.13
PX433915WRF	1392	42.80	1.18	4.53	30.60	85.63	7.33	4.80	9.25
FM 1944GLB2	1320	42.70	1.20	4.65	29.75	85.78	6.20	5.65	9.65
CT12214	1300	43.40	1.17	4.45	28.43	85.30	7.38	4.83	9.25
BX 1346GLB2	1285	42.18	1.17	4.48	31.13	85.20	7.35	5.40	9.73
DG 2595 B2RF	1266	44.95	1.15	4.63	29.55	85.00	6.98	4.60	8.60
DP 0912 B2RF	1265	42.50	1.13	4.93	29.40	85.40	7.25	5.20	9.23
DP 1311 B2RF	1261	45.65	1.13	4.65	27.25	85.50	7.33	4.68	8.00
PHY 375 WRF	1249	43.75	1.12	4.35	27.75	84.75	6.75	4.75	9.10
DP 1359 B2RF	1198	45.55	1.15	4.33	30.00	86.05	6.78	4.53	8.45
ST 5288B2F	1177	43.58	1.14	4.80	27.73	85.10	7.18	4.80	9.33
UA48	1159	39.73	1.28	4.95	35.63	87.10	6.83	5.75	10.95
MON 11R136B2R2	1053	42.85	1.20	4.03	29.55	86.68	6.98	4.73	8.33
BX 1348GLB2	1024	43.00	1.18	4.18	28.88	85.73	6.33	4.53	8.35
CG 3428 B2RF	986	43.68	1.18	4.55	28.25	86.65	7.15	4.28	8.78
NITRO 44 B2RF	972	41.25	1.20	3.58	31.55	86.03	7.20	4.60	9.98
LA 122	968	43.98	1.14	4.33	28.40	85.70	7.20	5.30	8.58
DG 2530 B2RF	952	44.03	1.15	4.10	29.85	86.80	7.43	4.38	8.15
PX532211WRF	913	41.38	1.18	4.15	29.05	85.90	6.98	4.60	9.08
NGX 0012 B2RF	912	43.43	1.14	3.88	27.18	86.63	7.48	4.95	8.43
Overall Mean	1184	43.25	1.17	4.42	29.56	85.84	7.12	4.85	9.044
LSD (0.05)	300	1.17	0.03	0.3	1.68	1.16	0.33	0.53	0.78
C.V. (%)	17.92	1.92	1.88	4.77	4.01	0.96	3.26	7.78	6.12

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 22. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on a nonirrigated commerce very fine sandy loam on Clark Carter Farms near Rolling Fork, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
PX433906WRF	1349	39.75	1.22	3.95	30.08	86.88	6.55	4.98	9.73
PX433915WRF	1250	38.15	1.25	4.05	29.80	86.43	6.40	4.95	9.70
PX4339CBWRF	1212	38.43	1.23	3.93	29.90	86.08	6.65	4.73	9.63
DP 1321 B2RF	1199	39.03	1.22	4.23	29.65	86.80	7.10	4.95	9.98
DP 0912 B2RF	1190	38.00	1.17	4.50	29.63	85.78	6.55	4.95	9.78
PHY 375 WRF	1159	39.65	1.17	4.18	28.73	85.05	6.38	4.90	9.43
ST 5288B2F	1157	38.30	1.21	4.20	28.93	84.85	6.83	5.03	9.00
CT12214	1092	37.95	1.23	4.13	29.13	86.13	6.73	5.35	10.35
NITRO 44 B2RF	1073	36.70	1.27	3.63	31.90	86.58	6.58	5.15	10.80
DG 2530 B2RF	1061	39.90	1.26	3.85	30.38	86.08	6.70	4.53	8.95
NGX 0012 B2RF	1059	40.25	1.22	4.00	28.53	87.53	6.93	5.18	9.75
FM 1944GLB2	1058	36.38	1.27	4.10	32.45	86.65	6.10	5.90	10.83
DP 1311 B2RF	1050	39.73	1.19	3.93	28.48	85.25	6.58	4.45	8.43
DG 2595 B2RF	1046	38.95	1.21	4.35	28.75	85.83	6.55	4.68	9.43
BX 1348GLB2	1043	37.90	1.27	3.95	29.45	85.88	5.90	4.60	9.38
CG 3428 B2RF	1021	39.25	1.26	3.80	29.63	86.35	6.70	4.70	9.10
PX532211WRF	988	36.25	1.26	3.90	28.88	86.48	6.50	5.20	10.45
DP 1359 B2RF	969	41.00	1.22	4.13	30.63	85.03	6.30	4.55	8.85
LA 122	958	38.88	1.23	3.85	28.95	85.68	6.80	4.88	9.50
UA48	902	35.50	1.31	4.53	36.53	87.68	6.53	5.73	11.70
BX 1346GLB2	893	37.30	1.19	4.10	31.10	85.20	6.85	5.53	10.33
MON 11R136B2R2	754	37.43	1.27	3.73	31.80	86.25	6.50	4.65	8.95
Overall Mean	1069	38.39	1.23	4.04	30.15	86.11	6.57	4.97	9.72
LSD (0.05)	224	1.09	0.3	0.36	1.7	1.25	0.36	0.52	0.76
C.V. (%)	14.72	2.01	1.7	6.29	3.98	1.03	3.9	7.41	5.56

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 23. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Tensas silty clay loam on Dale Pillow Farms near Schlater, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
PX433915WRF	1804	41.10	1.19	4.95	28.60	85.83	6.58	4.85	10.40
DP 1321 B2RF	1767	41.33	1.17	5.23	29.33	85.60	7.20	5.33	10.88
CT12214	1749	40.45	1.17	4.80	28.78	86.10	6.73	5.68	10.58
PHY 375 WRF	1670	41.50	1.16	4.95	29.83	84.88	6.53	5.23	10.33
BX 1348GLB2	1660	39.80	1.23	4.75	29.65	85.55	5.95	4.83	9.85
FM 1944GLB2	1634	39.33	1.23	4.98	32.18	86.03	6.03	5.48	10.73
DP 1311 B2RF	1631	43.00	1.12	5.10	27.13	84.38	6.78	5.00	8.78
DP 1359 B2RF	1627	43.18	1.16	5.33	30.50	84.60	6.80	5.25	9.68
MON 11R136B2R2	1626	38.75	1.26	4.83	32.55	87.18	6.68	5.43	10.15
BX 1346GLB2	1614	39.33	1.19	5.18	31.15	86.50	7.03	6.50	12.13
DP 0912 B2RF	1613	38.45	1.15	5.33	29.70	85.15	6.60	5.35	10.30
PX433906WRF	1612	41.20	1.20	4.83	31.25	86.25	6.85	5.13	9.88
NGX 0012 B2RF	1593	42.60	1.16	4.90	27.93	85.25	7.05	5.38	9.88
DG 2595 B2RF	1569	40.33	1.19	5.40	30.40	85.70	6.65	4.98	10.65
ST 5288B2F	1552	39.83	1.16	5.20	27.98	83.98	6.33	5.30	9.88
DG 2530 B2RF	1436	43.70	1.21	4.98	30.08	86.58	6.78	5.10	9.83
LA 122	1431	40.93	1.17	4.78	29.18	85.48	6.78	5.38	10.10
PX4339CBWRF	1431	40.55	1.21	4.68	30.45	86.28	6.85	4.90	9.85
CG 3428 B2RF	1314	41.33	1.20	4.90	28.48	85.68	6.68	4.83	9.35
PX532211WRF	1270	39.18	1.20	4.90	29.58	85.23	6.63	4.90	10.55
NITRO 44 B2RF	1199	36.75	1.23	4.45	32.13	86.28	6.80	5.28	11.13
UA48	1120	37.13	1.28	5.30	37.90	87.85	6.85	6.25	12.83
Overall Mean	1542	40.44	1.19	4.98	30.21	85.74	6.86	5.29	10.34
LSD (0.05)	349	1.66	0.03	0.32	1.86	0.94	0.3	0.59	0.79
C.V. (%)	16.03	2.9	1.92	4.86	4.36	0.77	3.21	7.93	5.38

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 24. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Falaya silt loam on Pace Perry Farms near Senatobia, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	<i>lb/A</i>	%	<i>in</i>		<i>g/tex</i>	%	%	<i>g</i>	<i>g</i>
DP 1321 B2RF	1382	43.10	1.15	5.08	32.25	85.80	7.58	5.10	10.23
PX433906WRF	1381	43.43	1.17	4.73	33.25	85.48	7.08	5.00	9.43
PHY 375 WRF	1351	43.10	1.11	4.83	29.63	85.23	6.48	4.75	9.75
PX4339CBWRF	1344	43.20	1.17	4.78	31.63	85.78	6.78	4.75	9.58
PX433915WRF	1344	42.80	1.18	4.68	31.23	84.95	6.63	4.50	9.43
NGX 0012 B2RF	1307	44.15	1.15	5.18	28.55	85.58	6.93	5.03	9.50
CT12214	1306	42.47	1.18	4.90	30.87	86.23	7.03	4.77	10.53
BX 1348GLB2	1304	41.48	1.19	4.83	29.28	85.23	5.85	4.43	9.80
LA 122	1288	43.00	1.16	4.85	29.93	84.98	6.65	4.93	9.73
DP 1311 B2RF	1285	44.45	1.12	4.85	28.45	84.55	6.68	4.88	8.28
DP 1359 B2RF	1277	43.08	1.14	5.20	32.60	84.60	6.58	4.30	8.53
DP 0912 B2RF	1257	41.38	1.13	5.03	32.00	84.90	6.88	4.80	9.88
BX 1346GLB2	1241	41.30	1.17	4.68	33.08	85.80	7.23	5.73	11.28
CG 3428 B2RF	1227	43.05	1.18	5.20	30.13	85.08	6.85	4.40	9.48
DG 2595 B2RF	1207	42.18	1.17	5.38	31.98	84.93	6.98	4.73	9.78
PX532211WRF	1198	41.23	1.17	4.83	30.23	85.80	6.45	4.90	9.90
DG 2530 B2RF	1192	44.03	1.16	5.08	31.40	85.68	7.08	4.78	9.28
ST 5288B2F	1189	41.48	1.13	5.00	30.03	84.60	6.58	4.75	9.55
NITRO 44 B2RF	1145	39.90	1.22	4.55	36.23	86.40	7.10	5.18	10.58
FM 1944GLB2	1133	39.85	1.20	4.75	32.73	84.95	6.13	4.98	10.95
MON 11R136B2R2	1102	40.80	1.19	4.78	32.68	85.60	6.80	4.93	9.70
UA48	1052	36.98	1.27	5.00	39.05	87.48	7.10	5.35	12.80
Overall Mean	1250	42.1	1.68	4.91	31.7	85.43	6.79	4.86	9.89
LSD (0.05)	172	1.24	0.03	0.25	1.62	1.11	0.31	0.6	0.81
C.V. (%)	9.64	2.05	1.81	3.59	3.58	0.91	3.24	8.63	5.78

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 25. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for NEW cotton varieties cultivated on an irrigated Sharkey clay on George Perry Farms near Tunica, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
	<i>lb/A</i>	%	<i>in</i>		<i>g/tex</i>	%	%	<i>g</i>	<i>g</i>
DP 1321 B2RF	1792	41.08	1.20	5.50	30.10	87.15	7.33	5.18	11.03
ST 5288B2F	1671	39.95	1.20	5.35	29.58	85.58	6.70	5.43	9.48
PX4339CBWRF	1606	40.55	1.22	4.80	31.85	87.08	6.83	5.33	10.65
DP 0912 B2RF	1586	39.23	1.17	5.58	30.78	86.23	6.80	5.33	11.15
PHY 375 WRF	1541	41.93	1.18	5.10	30.83	86.58	6.83	5.28	10.73
CT12214	1540	39.78	1.20	4.93	29.85	86.68	7.03	5.20	11.20
BX 1346GLB2	1497	39.30	1.20	5.33	32.60	86.35	7.18	6.33	12.35
PX433906WRF	1493	40.13	1.24	4.85	31.58	87.50	6.88	5.35	10.48
PX433915WRF	1488	40.10	1.22	4.83	31.83	86.73	6.93	5.20	10.48
FM 1944GLB2	1380	38.70	1.27	5.20	33.13	87.90	6.43	5.60	11.68
LA 122	1347	40.55	1.21	4.70	30.88	87.13	6.95	5.43	10.35
BX 1348GLB2	1331	38.53	1.27	4.78	30.45	87.33	6.13	4.85	10.53
DG 2595 B2RF	1247	40.45	1.22	5.33	32.15	87.03	6.83	5.23	10.95
PX532211WRF	1156	38.75	1.26	4.65	30.23	86.85	6.53	5.45	10.98
CG 3428 B2RF	1139	40.08	1.25	5.13	29.33	87.38	6.73	5.00	10.53
DP 1311 B2RF	1109	40.80	1.20	4.88	29.65	86.63	6.75	4.78	9.60
UA48	1105	35.95	1.32	5.45	38.75	88.93	7.00	5.70	13.18
DG 2530 B2RF	1092	40.35	1.24	4.95	31.95	88.15	6.90	5.48	10.85
MON 11R136B2R2	1083	38.78	1.29	4.83	33.10	87.98	6.85	5.10	10.85
DP 1359 B2RF	1028	42.00	1.26	5.10	33.95	87.23	6.73	5.08	9.88
NGX 0012 B2RF	948	41.65	1.19	4.95	30.23	87.20	7.38	5.15	10.75
NITRO 44 B2RF	927	37.08	1.29	4.35	34.13	88.10	6.98	5.83	13.18
Overall Mean	1324	39.8	1.23	5.02	31.68	87.17	6.84	5.33	10.95
LSD (0.05)	259	1.21	0.03	0.19	2.02	0.89	0.28	0.61	0.81
C.V. (%)	13.84	2.15	1.67	2.61	4.51	0.73	2.92	8.09	5.24

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 26. Mean yield performance and fiber characteristics for CAST varieties cultivated on an irrigated Bosket very fine sandy loam at the Delta Research and Experiment Station near Stoneville, Mississippi, during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Lint	Length	Mic.	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Ind. boll weight	100 seed weight
ST 5288B2F	1124	40.23	1.19	5.20	29.68	84.20	7.08	4.75	9.15
DP 0912 B2RF	1013	40.15	1.16	5.23	31.08	85.35	7.30	5.05	10.48
981221501 B2RF	994	40.25	1.23	4.83	35.03	86.60	7.18	5.65	11.15
PHY 375 WRF	944	40.65	1.17	4.78	29.70	85.00	6.93	4.83	10.38
DREC 08-283	943	39.40	1.17	5.00	28.88	84.03	6.60	5.38	11.68
PX4433WRF-14	928	40.33	1.19	4.85	31.00	85.15	7.88	4.40	9.48
CR106466 B2RF	923	38.13	1.15	4.75	28.83	84.23	6.75	5.73	10.73
PX5277WRF-06	913	41.08	1.23	4.95	31.50	86.45	7.68	4.70	9.75
CR103233 B2RF	905	41.05	1.20	4.85	29.50	85.10	7.20	5.08	9.45
DREC 08 30ne	899	41.03	1.13	5.65	33.98	83.93	7.68	5.23	9.75
DREC 08-263	895	37.70	1.24	4.90	33.78	85.83	6.93	5.43	11.73
9CR253 B2RF	850	41.70	1.17	5.43	32.88	85.90	7.15	5.08	10.28
PX5277WRF-02	847	40.70	1.19	5.13	30.68	85.58	7.80	4.35	10.38
PX3122WRF-40	844	37.63	1.22	4.63	31.05	85.48	7.48	5.10	9.48
PX5409WRF-03	827	38.13	1.23	4.83	29.93	85.65	7.50	4.90	9.45
PX5403WRF-05	624	41.03	1.17	4.68	34.30	86.23	7.63	4.63	8.90
Overall Mean	909	39.94	1.19	4.98	31.36	85.29	7.30	5.02	10.14
LSD (0.05)	144	1.24	0.037	0.22	1.50	0.99	0.26	0.47	0.67
C.V. (%)	10.90	2.17	2.16	3.15	3.35	0.81	2.52	6.58	4.65

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

Table 27. CCC loan premiums, discounts, and loan value per acre calculated on 1-year mean yield and quality performance of varieties cultivated at five locations in the Delta region during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Staple	Length ^{2,3}	Mic. ²	Strength ²	Uniformity ²	Loan price	Lint loan value ⁴	Net Value ⁵
DP 1321 B2RF	1486	38	points/lb	130	-230	10	40	51.50	\$/A
NG 1511 B2RF	1467	37	130	0	30	40	54.00	765	750
PX433915WRF	1391	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	753	736
PX433906WRF	1365	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	738	723
PHY499WRF	1347	37	130	-230	40	50	51.90	699	689
CT12214	1347	38	130	0	10	50	53.90	726	710
PX4339CBWRF	1343	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	727	711
DP 0912 B2RF	1327	37	130	-230	10	40	51.50	683	665
PHY 375 WRF	1319	37	130	0	10	40	53.80	710	698
ST 5288B2F	1312	37	130	-230	0	30	51.30	673	658
CG 3787 B2RF	1292	38	130	0	0	50	53.80	695	685
DP 0920 B2RF	1291	37	130	-230	0	40	51.40	664	652
DG 2570 B2RF	1285	37	130	0	30	40	54.00	694	679
BX 1346GLB2	1256	38	130	0	40	40	54.10	679	662
DG 2595 B2RF	1251	38	130	-230	30	40	51.70	647	634
FM 1944GLB2	1244	39	130	0	40	50	54.20	674	657
AM1550 B2RF	1243	37	130	0	0	40	53.70	667	652
DP 1311 B2RF	1226	37	130	0	0	40	53.70	658	648
DP 1133 B2RF	1216	38	130	0	40	50	54.20	659	649
PHY367WRF	1215	38	130	0	30	40	54.00	656	640
DP 1044 B2RF	1187	37	130	0	0	40	53.70	637	621
DP 1137 B2RF	1187	37	130	0	10	40	53.80	639	629
UA 222	1180	40	130	0	30	50	54.10	638	621
DP 1048 B2RF	1179	38	130	0	0	40	53.70	633	624
DP 1359 B2RF	1164	38	130	0	40	40	54.10	630	621
BX 1348GLB2	1157	39	130	0	10	40	53.80	622	607
DP 1034 B2RF	1157	37	130	0	0	40	53.70	621	611
HQ 210 CT	1140	37	130	0	40	40	54.10	617	601
DP 1219 B2RF	1133	38	130	0	40	40	54.10	613	602
LA 122	1109	38	130	0	10	40	53.80	597	585
CG 3428 B2RF	1089	39	130	0	10	50	53.90	587	575
DG 2530 B2RF	1088	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	589	579
NGX 0012B2RF	1080	37	130	0	0	50	53.80	581	572
PX532211WRF	1027	39	130	0	10	50	53.90	554	539
UA48	1010	41	130	-230	45	50	51.95	525	506
NITRO 44 B2RF	990	40	130	15	40	50	54.35	538	521
MON11R136B2R2	983	40	130	0	40	50	54.20	533	520
DG 2610 B2RF	981	38	130	0	10	50	53.90	529	520

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

²These measurements are expressed as premium or discount in points per pound.

³Length premiums and discounts were calculated from 41-4 color and leaf base.

⁴Calculations were based on the 2012 Crop American Upland Cotton Loan Schedule, available at <http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?area=home&subject=prsu&topic=lor>.

⁵This is the net value per acre at loan above harvest and ginning costs after seed credit. Seed credit was calculated at the USDA-RMA lint-to-seed conversion factor of 1.412, with seed at \$265 per ton. Harvest charge was calculated at \$3.20 per hundredweight of seed cotton, ginning at \$0.12 per pound of lint.

Table 28. CCC loan premiums, discounts, and loan value per acre calculated on 1-year mean yield and quality performance of varieties cultivated at three locations in the Hill region during 2012.

Variety	Lint yield ¹	Staple	Length ^{2,3}	Mic. ²	Strength ²	Uniformity ²	Loan price	Lint loan value ⁴	Net Value ⁵
CG 3787 B2RF	1627	38	points/lb	0	10	50	53.90	877	865
PX433906WRF	1624	39	130	0	40	50	54.20	880	865
DP 1048 B2RF	1622	38	130	0	10	50	53.90	874	862
DP 1137 B2RF	1581	37	130	0	10	40	53.80	851	840
DP 1034 B2RF	1572	38	130	0	10	50	53.90	847	835
DP 1321 B2RF	1564	38	130	0	30	50	54.10	846	834
DP 1219 B2RF	1553	39	130	0	45	50	54.25	843	832
NG 1511 B2RF	1553	38	130	0	40	40	54.10	840	832
PX4339CBWRF	1550	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	839	823
PHY499WRF	1548	38	130	0	40	50	54.20	839	829
NGX 0012 B2RF	1536	38	130	0	0	50	53.80	826	815
DG 2610 B2RF	1535	38	130	0	10	50	53.90	827	816
DP 1133 B2RF	1517	38	130	0	40	50	54.20	822	813
CG 3428 B2RF	1510	39	130	0	10	50	53.90	814	802
PX433915WRF	1503	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	813	797
DG 2530 B2RF	1483	39	130	0	40	50	54.20	804	793
BX 1348GLB2	1474	40	130	0	10	40	53.80	793	776
CT12214	1456	39	130	0	10	50	53.90	785	769
DP 0912 B2RF	1454	37	130	0	30	40	54.00	785	769
PHY 375 WRF	1428	37	130	0	30	40	54.00	771	759
PX532211WRF	1428	39	130	0	10	50	53.90	770	753
FM 1944GLB2	1416	40	130	0	40	50	54.20	767	748
DP 0920 B2RF	1402	37	130	0	0	40	53.70	753	739
NITRO 44 B2RF	1400	40	130	15	45	50	54.40	762	744
ST 5288B2F	1394	38	130	0	10	40	53.80	750	734
AM1550 B2RF	1391	37	130	0	10	40	53.80	748	733
DP 1311 B2RF	1388	38	130	0	0	50	53.80	747	737
DP 1359 B2RF	1361	38	130	0	40	40	54.10	736	727
DG 2570 B2RF	1354	38	130	0	30	40	54.00	731	716
DP 1044 B2RF	1340	37	130	0	30	40	54.00	724	707
BX 1346GLB2	1333	38	130	0	40	50	54.20	722	707
DG 2595 B2RF	1327	39	130	0	30	50	54.10	718	705
PHY367WRF	1278	38	130	0	30	40	54.00	690	676
LA 122	1226	38	130	0	10	40	53.80	660	649
MON11R136B2R2	1187	40	130	0	40	50	54.20	643	631
HQ 210 CT	1174	37	130	0	40	40	54.10	635	622
UA 222	981	41	130	0	40	50	54.20	532	519
UA48	973	42	130	-230	45	50	51.95	505	487

¹Lint yields in bold type within a column are not significantly different from the numerically greatest-yielding variety.

²These measurements are expressed as premium or discount in points per pound.

³Length premiums and discounts were calculated from 41-4 color and leaf base.

⁴Calculations were based on the 2012 Crop American Upland Cotton Loan Schedule, available at <http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?area=home&subject=prsu&topic=lor>.

⁵This is the net value per acre at loan above harvest and ginning costs after seed credit. Seed credit was calculated at the USDA-RMA lint-to-seed conversion factor of 1.412, with seed at \$265 per ton. Harvest charge was calculated at \$3.20 per hundredweight of seed cotton, ginning at \$0.12 per pound of lint.

Appendix 1. Dates of agronomically important events for all cotton variety trials and locations in Mississippi during 2012.									
Event	Location and soil texture ¹								
	Clarksdale FSL	Rolling Fork VFSL	Schlater SCL	Senatobia SL	Starkville ² FSL	Stoneville VFSL	Tribbett SCL	Verona SL	Tunica LSCL
Planting date	date 5/29	date 5/16	date 4/26	date 4/24	date 5/25	date 5/23	date 4/27	date 5/16	date 4/19
Irrigation	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
N application	6/18, 7/13	7/16	5/15	5/7	3/29, 4/27, 6/25	6/20	4/26, 6/20	6/21	3/4, 5/7
Pre herbicide	3/20, 5/12, 5/29	2/27, 5/1, 5/16	4/26	2/16, 3/21, 4/24	3/6, 5/7, 5/25	4/25, 5/15, 5/23	3/28, 4/20, 4/27	3/19, 5/5, 5/17	2/15, 3/4, 3/29, 4/18
Early post herbicide	7/18, 7/25		6/5	6/11	7/25	6/27	6/18, 6/22	6/7, 7/11	5/30
Layby herbicide	7/26	5/18	6/18	6/28	8/16	7/19	6/28	7/19	6/15
Early insecticide	6/7, 6/16, 6/30	6/23, 6/28	5/16	6/6		6/27	5/16, 5/26	5/16	6/8, 6/20
Mid insecticide	7/6, 7/13, 7/18, 7/25, 7/30	7/6, 7/16, 7/26		7/30	7/30	7/5, 7/19, 7/26	6/8, 6/20, 6/27, 7/3	6/29	7/5, 7/16
Late insecticide	8/7, 8/14	8/13/201	8/20			8/5, 8/17, 8/28	7/25, 8/10	8/2, 8/7	8/21
PGR		7/16			8/16	7/26	6/21	7/19, 7/24	
Harvest Aid	10/3, 10/10	9/24, 10/17	9/11	9/6	10/17	10/9	9/10, 9/21	9/25, 10/11	9/26
Harvest	10/24	10/25	10/17	9/24	11/14	10/22	10/24	10/17	10/16

¹FSL = Fine sandy loam, VFSL = Very-fine sandy loam, SCL = Silty clay loam, SL = Silt loam, LSCL=Leeper silty clay loam.

²The Starkville location was irrigated once within a week of planting due to concerns with obtaining a uniform stand.



Printed on Recycled Paper

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that also may be suitable.

Discrimination based upon race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or veteran's status is a violation of federal and state law and MSU policy and will not be tolerated. Discrimination based upon sexual orientation or group affiliation is a violation of MSU policy and will not be tolerated.